

This paper has several typographical errors (sorry about this).

**Page 21,306**

Figure 8. The angle in subfigure a should be labeled  $2\alpha$  rather than  $2\theta$ . The angle in subfigure b should be labeled  $\alpha$  rather than  $\theta$ .

Right-hand column, 12th line from the bottom (or 17th from the top). The errant line starts:  
in (6) and Figure 8.

This passage should be replaced by  
in (5) and Figure 8.

**Page 21,310**

In the last four lines of the page, two references are made to Figure 9b; the references should be to Figure 9c. In the last line on the page the reference to Figures 9c and 9d should be replaced by Figures 9b and 9d. As a result, the last two full sentences on the page should read as follows:

Thus the experimental conditions might correspond to the large stress-drop scenarios of Figures 9a and 9c. Figures 9a and 9c show a large stress drop is far more likely to yield continuous curved secondary fractures near 3:00 and 9:00 than a small stress drop is (Figures 9b and 9d).

**Page 21,311**

In the first line of the page, the reference to Figure 11 should be replaced by a reference to Figure 13. The corrected line would read:

between the models of Figures 4 and Figure 13 might be due ...

In the 8th line from the bottom in the left column, the year of the Mansfield and Cartwright paper is incorrectly given as 1966. The correct reference is:

*Mansfield and Cartwright, 1996*

In the last full sentence on the page, the words “parallel” and “normal” should be switched. So the corrected sentence would read:

Hence fault plane hydraulic conductivity arising from linkages would be high normal to slip and low parallel to slip.

The corrected sentence is consistent with the statements in the abstract of the paper.