# Population Structure of Australian Swordfish, Xiphias gladius

Robert D. Ward\*, Carol A. Reeb\*\* and Barbara A. Block\*\*

\*CSIRO Marine Research GPO Box 1538 Hobart Tasmania 7001 Australia

\*\*Hopkins Marine Station Department of Biological Sciences Stanford University Ocean View Boulevard Pacific Grove California 93950 USA

# Final Report to AFMA

April, 2001



This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Australian Government Publishing Service. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Manager, Commonwealth Information Service, Australian Government Publishing Service, GPO Box 84, Canberra ACT 2601.

Copies available from: Australian Fisheries Management Authority PO Box 7051 Canberra Mail Centre ACT 2610

Phone: (02) 6272 5029 Fax: (02) 6272 5175 Email: info@afma.gov.au

Internet: http://www.afma.gov.au

Preferred way to cite this publication:

Ward, R.D., Reeb, C.A. and Block, B.A. (2001) Population structure of Australian swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*. Final Report to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.

Published by CSIRO Marine Research.

# Contents

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 4. INTRODUCTION
- 4.1 Background information on swordfish
- 4.2 Genetic studies on swordfish
- 4. METHODS
- 2.1. Genetic methodology
- 2.2. Population genetic analysis
- 3. RESULTS
- 4.1 Mitochondrial DNA
- 4.2 Microsatellite loci

#### 4. DISCUSSION

- 4.1 Mitochondrial DNA
- 4.2 Microsatellite loci
- 4.3 Combining mtDNA and microsatellite data
- 4.4 Indo-Pacific swordfish population structure
- 4.5 Future research

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

#### REFERENCES

APPENDIX 1. Representative sequences of mtDNA clades I2, I3 and II

APPENDIX 2. Allele frequencies for five microsatellite loci in swordfish from three localities in the Indo-Pacific

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The aims of this study were to examine the genetic stock structure of Australia's swordfish fisheries on the west and east coasts, and to determine how these populations might relate to other populations in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. It was decided to use a combination of mitochondrial DNA and microsatellite DNA analyses. Both these approaches had earlier been used to study swordfish stock structure by Dr Barbara Block and Dr Carol Reeb at Stanford University, California. A collaborative study was consequently established between CSIRO Marine Research and Stanford University.

Collections of swordfish were made from three areas: Australia's east coast fishery (off New South Wales and southern Queensland), Australia's west coast fishery (off Port Hedland and off Geraldton), and from off Reunion Island (west Indian Ocean, off Madagascar). Samples from the Pacific Ocean had already been collected and analysed by Stanford.

No statistically significant differences among the three project collections were detected for mitochondrial DNA. The null hypothesis that fish from Reunion Island, western Australia and eastern Australia form part of the same gene pool could not be rejected. However, it was interesting that two fish belonging to Clade II, a phylogenetic clade found in highest abundance in the Mediterranean Sea and Atlantic Ocean were in the western Australia collection. The remaining fish belonged to the more ubiquitous Clade I which is found distributed worldwide. The lack of Clade II in the Reunion Island collection likely reflects its small sample size. The presence of Clade II in the Indian Ocean might represent current or historical gene flow from the Atlantic Ocean.

Minor but significant differentiation in microsatellite allele frequencies over the three collections was recorded using one of three different analytic approaches. Much of the significant differentiation recorded by the AMOVA / $F_{ST}$  method was due to minor differentiation of the Western Australian population from the two other collections, at locus Xg-144.

Combing the mtDNA and nuclear DNA evidence with data previously published (Reeb et al. 2000) and unpublished genetic data (this study) from the Pacific suggests several stocks: (1) a northern Pacific stock (differentiated by mtDNA), (2) a southern Pacific stock (differentiated by mtDNA), (2) a southern Pacific stock (differentiated by mtDNA), a western Australia stock (differentiated by microsatellite DNA). However, if there are distinct stocks, then the degree of separation of them is small. A larger study of the genetics of swordfish of this area is required to finally resolve this issue.

## 2 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background information on swordfish

Australian swordfish populations are being increasingly exploited. Most are taken in the eastern AFZ. Initially Japanese longliners took most of the catch, but in recent years their effort has decreased, and ceased in 1998. Catch in the eastern AFZ from domestic longliners was minimal in the early 1990's, but then increased sharply to more than 2000 t in each of 1998 and 1999 (see Table 1). Most of the domestic catch is taken from the Brisbane Grounds (an area bounded by 24-32°S and 152-162°E).

Table 1. Catch taken and catch per unit effort (CPUE, estimated as kg/1000 hooks) in the eastern AFZ over the last ten years (data from Ward and Elscot 2000)

|      | Domestic  | c fishery | Japanese fishery |      |  |
|------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------|--|
| Year | Catch (t) | CPUE      | Catch (t)        | CPUE |  |
|      |           |           |                  |      |  |
| 1990 | 21        | 19        | 1018             | 85   |  |
| 1991 | 56        | 38        | 853              | 146  |  |
| 1992 | 46        | 24        | 1078             | 181  |  |
| 1993 | 27        | 18        | 701              | 94   |  |
| 1994 | 36        | 15        | 645              | 85   |  |
| 1995 | 65        | 20        | 525              | 77   |  |
| 1996 | 613       | 165       | 291              | 72   |  |
| 1997 | 1881      | 332       | 405              | 62   |  |
| 1998 | 2373      | 270       | 0                | -    |  |
| 1999 | 2513      | 262       | 0                | -    |  |

The second important swordfish fishery is off Western Australia. Here domestic catches have increased from about 25 t in 1997 to 238 t in 1998 and 933 t in 1999 (R. Campbell, pers. com.).

The rapid expansion of the fisheries in the eastern AFZ and in the western AFZ mirror rapid expansion in other parts of the world. An examination of five such fisheries (Ward and Elscot 2000) showed that catches peaked and then declined, while effort continued to climb after catches peaked. Three of these fisheries appear to have been fished at unsustainable levels. Sustainable management of the Australian fisheries is required, and knowledge of stock structure is critical for management and assessment. If fish from two areas form part of the same stock, then fishing either area will impact on fish in the other area. On the other hand, if fish from the two areas form separate stocks, then fishing either area will have minimal impact on the other area. In the former instance, management should apply a single quota (for example) to the unit stock, while in the latter instance, depending on biomass and other factors, different quotas are likely to apply to the two stocks.

In practise, such a clear-cut distinction between scenarios is not possible. The degree of gene flow between two areas can be anything from zero percent to complete mixture (panmixia). It is particularly difficult to determine stock structure for marine species, as they tend to inhabit zones with no clear-cut boundaries (unlike, for example, lake or river dwelling fish). Furthermore, they often have eggs or larvae that can be distributed in currents, and adult stages are also frequently highly mobile. Only a few individuals need to migrate and interbreed to maintain genetic mixing and minimise genetic heterogeneity between areas.

The biology of swordfish might be expected to minimise stock separation. Swordfish are found in all oceans and most seas, from tropical regions to temperate regions (roughly contained by the 13°C sea surface isotherm, Nakamura 1985), and can tolerate a much broader temperature range than other billfish or tunas. Generally, juveniles are most abundant in tropical and subtropical waters; adults are thought to move to temperate waters for feeding during summer, then move to warmer waters for spawning. Adults are amongst the fastest known swimmers (up to 140 km/h, Riedl 1983).

Tagging data for swordfish are limited, and mostly pertain to the Atlantic Ocean. The farthest recorded movement currently is 2700 nautical miles across the North Atlantic in 390 days (Pepperell 2000). Other individuals have been identified as travelling from the west coast of Africa to the Azores, and from the Azores to the western Sargasso Sea (Mejuto 1991). In the Pacific, a swordfish tagged north-east of Hawaii was recaptured 3000 km away off California (D. Holts, in Rosel and Block 1996). However, most recaptures of tagged swordfish are near the point of release (Carey and Robinson 1981). Furthermore, tagging data do not provide information on gene flow; they tell you where a fish is and where it has been, but not where it reproduced.

Swordfish do not appear to have discrete spawning grounds or seasons (Grall et al. 1983), but spawn in waters at more than 20°C (Palko et al. 1981), year-round in equatorial waters but progressively limited to spring-summer at higher latitudes. Swordfish rarely gather in schools and fertilisation probably involves the pairing of otherwise solitary male and female fish (Palko et al. 1981).

Growth is initially extremely rapid, and by one year of age an swordfish can reach 90 cm and 15 kg (Ehrhardt 1992). After two to three years, females grow faster than males (Kume and Joseph 1969). Swordfish live for nine or more years (Palko et al 1981). Average maximum size for males and females predicted from models is 190–217 cm and 246–364 cm respectively (see Ward and Elscot 2000), reached at about nine and fifteen years of age respectively (Wilson and Dean 1983).

### 1.2. Genetic studies on swordfish

There have been several genetic studies which have examined the population structure of swordfish in various parts of the world (Table 2), but none focusing on fish in Australian waters. Most of the work to date has used the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) genome.

MtDNA has become widely used in the last few years for genetic studies of fish populations, as it is a rapidly evolving marker that is anticipated to be more sensitive to gene flow restrictions than nuclear DNA markers. The latter property reflects its inheritance from only the maternal parent, and its haploid nature. The genetically effective population size of mtDNA is thus, other factors being equal, only one quarter that of nDNA (which is inherited from both parents, and is diploid in each parent). This makes it potentially a more sensitive indicator of genetic drift than nDNA. The major disadvantage of mtDNA is that it is a non-recombining molecule and only provides a single estimate of population structure; nuclear DNA on the other hand provides (in principle) a vast number of largely independent markers that can be examined.

This work showed that swordfish are comprised of two main phylogenetic clades (Kotoulas et al. 1995, Alvarado Bremer et al. 1995, 1996, Rosel and Block 1996, Chow et al. 1997, Chow and Takeyama 2000). Clade I is found throughout the distribution of the species range while Clade II is found in highest frequency in the Mediterranean Sea. The most clearly, consistently and strikingly differentiated stock was that in the Mediterranean and early studies concluded that swordfish are geographically subdivided on ocean basin scales (Alvarado Bremer et al. 1995, 1996, Rosel and Block 1996, Chow et al. 1997).

Initial studies failed to reveal any mtDNA population heterogeneity of swordfish within the Atlantic Ocean (Alvarado Bremer et al. 1995, Rosel and Block 1996), but as sample sizes increased a significant north-west/south Atlantic distinction was noticed (Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996, Chow et al. 1997). However, the largest mtDNA survey failed to detect significant Atlantic heterogeneity, but concurrent study of a nDNA marker (*CaM*, calmodulin intron 4) did show a clear distinction between north-west Atlantic fish and those from tropical and southern regions (Chow and Takeyama 2000). The evidence thus favours genetic stock heterogeneity within the Atlantic.

Pacific Ocean samples of swordfish show small but significant genetic differences from Atlantic fish (Rosel and Block 1996, Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996, Chow et al. 1997, Chow and Takeyama 2000). Within the Pacific Ocean, the only allozyme examination of swordfish stock structure carried out in any ocean showed small but significant differences between north-central (Hawaii) and north-east (Mexico) samples (Grijalva-Chon et al. 1996). Another examination of nDNA variability in the Pacific, using the CaM locus, lacked resolving power, as the locus was monomorphic in Japanese and Peruvian samples (Chow and Takeyama 2000). MtDNA studies did not reveal any significant intra-Pacific heterogeneity (Grijalva-Chon et al. 1994, Rosel and Block 1996, Chow et al. 1997, Chow and Takeyama 2000) until a more detailed examination was carried out (Reeb et al. 2000). The latter showed patterns of mtDNA heterogeneity and homogeneity best explained by invoking a  $\supset$  shaped pattern of gene flow, such that the south-west Pacific populations (Australia) were linked to the south-east populations (Chile) and north-east populations (Mexico), which were in turn linked to north-central (Hawaii) and north-west (Japan) populations. In brief, both allozyme and mtDNA data point towards population heterogeneity in the Pacific.

Studies of Indian Ocean swordfish are limited, and insufficient to examine the possibility of intra-ocean homogeneity. Indian Ocean swordfish appear to be genetically very similar to Pacific fish (Chow et al. 1997, Chow and Takeyama 2000).

Sequencing studies of the mtDNA control region showed that the numerous observed haplotypes fell into one of two highly divergent clades, with a net nucleotide difference of about 3.9%, and with different geographic distributions (Table 2). Presumably these two divergent clades represent the remnants of a past vicariance event separating the swordfish gene pool into two isolated and independently evolving populations.

| haplotypes to clades. |      |      |     |                             |  |  |
|-----------------------|------|------|-----|-----------------------------|--|--|
|                       | Cla  | ade  |     |                             |  |  |
| Location              | Ι    | Π    | n   | Reference                   |  |  |
|                       |      |      |     |                             |  |  |
| N. Atlantic           | 0.81 | 0.19 | 122 | Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996 |  |  |
| S. Atlantic           | 0.96 | 0.04 | 23  |                             |  |  |
| Mediterranean         | 0.62 | 0.38 | 76  |                             |  |  |
| N. Pacific            | 1.00 | -    | 26  |                             |  |  |
|                       |      |      |     |                             |  |  |
| N & S Atlantic        | 0.79 | 0.21 | 34  | Rosel and Block 1996        |  |  |

20

105

36

245

Reeb et al. 2000

0.50

-

\_

-

0.50

1.00

1.00

1.00

Mediterranean

Indian

E, C & W Pacific

E, C & W Pacific

Table 2. Frequencies of the two mtDNA clades in various oceans. This excludes Chow et al. (1997) and Chow and Takeyama (2000) who did not ascribe their swordfish mtDNA haplotypes to clades.

All the above studies have been summarised in Table 3. This shows that the evidence thus far identifies four major genetic stocks of swordfish: Mediterranean, north-west Atlantic, tropical and south Atlantic, and Indo-Pacific (with a complex structure in the Pacific).

Table 3. Summary of past genetic work on the swordfish. Samples within parentheses cannot be readily distinguished (Pac=Pacific Ocean, Atl=Atlantic Ocean, Ind=Indian Ocean, Med=Mediterranean Sea. N=north, C=central, E=east).

| Technique        | n   | Conclusion regarding stock structure  | Ref. |
|------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|------|
| mtDNA-RFLP       | 148 | (NW-Pac, NC-Pac, NE-Pac)              | а    |
| mtDNA-RFLP       | 204 | (S-Atl) (W-Med, C-Med, E-Med)         | b    |
| mtDNA-d loop-seq | 50  | (NE-Atl, NW-Atl, S-Atl, NE-Pac) (Med) | с    |

| mtDNA-d loop-seq  | 159        | (NE-Pac, SE-Pac, NC-Pac, NW-Pac) (NW-Atl, S-Atl) (Med)  | d |  |  |  |
|---|------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| allozyme  | 94         | (NC-Pac) (NE-Pac)   | e |  |  |  |
| mtDNA-d loop-seq<br>mtDNA-d loop-RFLP                         | 112<br>135 | total N = 247 (NE-Pac) (NW-Atl, NE-Atl) (S-Atl) (Med)   | f |  |  |  |
| mtDNA-d loop-RFLP   | 456        | (NW-Pac, NC-Pac, NE-Pac, SE-Pac, SC-Pac, C-Ind, E-Ind, S-Atl) (NW-Atl) (Med)                  | g |  |  |  |
| mtDNA-d loop-RFLP<br>nDNA- <i>CaM</i>                         | 698<br>567 | (Ind, Pac) (NW-Atl, C-Atl, S-Atl) (Med)<br>(Ind, NW-Pac, SE-Pac) (C-Atl, S-Atl) (NW-Atl, Med) | h |  |  |  |
| mtDNA-d loop-seq  | 281        | *NW-Pac-NC-Pac-NE-Pac-SE-Pac-SC-Pac-SW-Pac  | i |  |  |  |
| * A $\supset$ shaped distribution was hypothesised. See text. |            |   |   |  |  |  |

a, Grijalva-Chon et al. 1994; b, Kotoulas et al. 1995; c, Alvarado Bremer et al. 1995; d, Rosel and Block 1996; e, Grijalva-Chon et al. 1996; f, Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996; g. Chow et al. 1997; h, Chow and Takeya ma 2000; i, Reeb et al. 2000

The present project was aimed at collecting genetic data from swordfish from the Australian east and west coast fisheries, and seeing how they related to other Indo-Pacific collections of swordfish. Carol Reeb and Barbara Block at Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University, had already collected extensive genetic data on Pacific swordfish, and the collaboration between CSIRO Marine Research and Hopkins allowed Carol to collect genetic data on our new collections of swordfish, using her already proven methods, and to compare the new data with her pre-existing data. The Stanford laboratory obtained samples from the western Indian Ocean. A comparison of this data with fish taken off Western Australia would allow the first assessment of whether there is stock heterogeneity within the Indian Ocean.

We decided to combine studies of both mtDNA variation – as has been used in most earlier studies of swordfish stock structure (Table 3) – and the newer and potentially more powerful techniques of DNA microsatellite analysis. Microsatellites provide an abundant supply of hypervariable codominant markers for fish studies (O'Connell and Wright 1997). Both approaches were already in use in the Stanford laboratory for swordfish assessments.

### 2 METHODS

Swordfish samples, white muscle tissue stored in ethanol, were collected off the eastern and western coasts of Australia and from off Reunion Island (off the east coast of Madagascar) (Table 4). The Reunion Island sample was provided by B. Block at Stanford.

Table 4. Swordfish samples collected for this project

| Area              | Date          | Location             | n   | Collector      |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----|----------------|
|                   |               |                      |     |                |
| Eastern Australia | July 1995     | 30° 20'S, 154 ° 49'E | 12  | M. Scott       |
|                   | May-July 1999 | New South Wales      | 31  | Kevin Williams |
|                   | July 1999     | S. Queensland        | 49  | Kevin Williams |
| Western Australia | Feb 1995      | 16°S, 118°E          | 36  | A.G.           |
|                   | May-July 1999 | uncertain            | 23  | Kevin Williams |
|                   | Aug 1999      | 28° 46'S, 114° 37'E  | 75  | Erica Starling |
| Reunion Island    | Oct-Dec 1998  | 54°S, 21°E           | 39  | François Rene  |
| Total             |               |                      | 265 |                |

### 2.1. Genetic methodology

Total genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using the Qiagen Tissue Prep kit (Qiagen Corporation) and diluted to a final concentration of 50-100  $ng/\mu l$ .

Mitochondrial DNA was examined by PCR amplification of two fragments from the 5' and 3' hypervariable ends of the mitochondrial control region using primers described in Rosel and Block (1996) and Reeb et al. (2000). Taq polymerase with Buffer B from Promega Corporation was used. Primer sequences are listed in Table 5. Reactions were done in 50  $\mu$ l volumes and approximately 100 ng of DNA (1-5  $\mu$ l) was added. Amplification involved 33 cycles of 1 min at 91°C, 1 min at 55°C and 2 min at 72°C. Fragments were gel purified using the Wizard Prep kit (Promega Corporation) and DNA was resuspended in 22  $\mu$ l of sterile deionized H<sub>2</sub>O. Each fragment was sequenced on a 6% polyacrylamide/urea gel (34 cm) using the Prism DNA sequencing kit, 373A autosequencer, and Sequencing Analysis software (v. 3.0) from Applied Biosystems, Inc. Sequences were aligned to those previously published in Reeb et al. (2000).

Table 5. PCR Primers for amplifying two fragments of the control region in *Xiphias gladius*.  $T_A$  is the annealing temperature

| Region        | Primer (5' – 3')                              | $T_A(^{\circ}C)$ | Total size<br>(bp) | Size used (bp)* |
|---------------|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Pro-L<br>XG-5 | CTACCCCTAACTCCCAAAGC<br>ACACCAGTCTTGTAAACC    | 55               | 350                | 310             |
| XG-6<br>12sAR | AGCGGGTAGGGGTTCCT<br>ATAGTGGGGTATCTAATCCCAGTT | 55               | 450                | 319             |

\*excludes unreliable sequences and sequences from part of the 12s RNA gene

Five microsatellite loci described in Reeb et al. (submitted, also see Table 6) were amplified with PCR using 10-50 ng of DNA per µl, AmpliTaq Gold with GeneAmp 10x buffer (Perkin Elmer Corporation) and 1.5mM MgCh. The DNA was then combined with one µl of GeneScan500-TAMARA loading buffer (Perkin Elmer Corporation) and electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide/urea gel (24 cm) using a 373A autosequencer. The software GeneScan v. 1.2 (Applied Biosystems, Inc.), was used to analyze the gel while the software Genotyper was used to identify the various alleles.

| Locus  | Clone<br>sequence  | Size range<br>(bp) |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Xg-55  | (CA) <sub>35</sub> | 80-176             |
| Xg-56  | (GT) <sub>16</sub> | 116-154            |
| Xg-66  | (CA) <sub>11</sub> | 126-144            |
| Xg-75  | (CA) <sub>53</sub> | 132-290            |
| Xg-144 | (GGA) <sub>7</sub> | 157-175            |

Table 6. The five Xiphias gladius microsatellite loci.

### 2.2. Population genetic analysis

MtDNA: Aligned mtDNA sequences (each of 632 base pairs) were entered into Arlequin, v. 2.0 (Schneider et al. 2000). Population genetic structure was inferred using an Analysis of Molecular Variance approach (AMOVA) described in Excoffier et al. (1992). This partitions the total molecular variance into within and among population components. The program was set up using the Tamura-Nei distance algorithm (Tamura and Nei 1993). An alpha setting of 0.21 and a transition/transversion ratio of 10 previously found for the swordfish (Reeb et al. 2000) were used to determine genetic distances between pairs of populations. Haplotypes were permuted among populations 10,000 times to test for a significant departure from randomization and population homogeneity. Nucleotide diversities (Nei 1987) were also calculated.

Microsatellite loci: GenePop, v 3.2 (Raymond and Rousset 1995) was used to test for significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using the approach of Guo and Thompson (1992). The dememorization number, number of batches, and iterations per

batch were set to 1000 in each case  $F_{IS}$  values were estimated in GenePop using Weir and Cockerham's (1984) method.

The AMOVA option in Arlequin was used for the microsatellite data to test the null hypothesis that the three populations were not significantly different from each other (Michalakis and Excoffier 1996). Genetic distances were calculated based on the number of different alleles in each sampled population using both  $F_{ST}$  and  $R_{ST}$  (Slatkin 1995) methods for the estimation.  $F_{ST}$  methods simply use allele frequencies as the input data,  $R_{ST}$  methods use both allele frequencies and the number of repeats in each allele.  $F_{ST}$  estimates the fraction of the total variance in allele frequencies that is between populations,  $R_{ST}$  estimates the fraction of the total variance in allele size (in terms of numbers of repeat units) that is between populations. Allele sizes in base pairs were converted to number of repeats by subtracting the known length of flanking sequence (Reeb et al. submitted) from the length of each microsatellite allele. Individual genotypes were permuted among populations 10,000 times to test for significance.

In GenePop, population differentiation (genic and genotypic) was tested using the method of Raymond and Rousset (1995). Default parameters were used in the tests for significance.

### 2 RESULTS

### 3.1. Mitochondrial DNA

Data are summarised in Table 7. All mtDNA haplotypes were different from all other haplotypes, an expected consequence of sequencing a hypervariable region of DNA. Hence the haplotype diversity of each collection was 1.0. Nucleotide diversity for all collections was about 0.025.

| Table 7. MtDNA | . Summary | of within | location | levels of | diversity |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|

|                   |     | Haplotype            | Nucleotide             |       | Clade fi | requency       | ,     |
|-------------------|-----|----------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|----------------|-------|
| Location          | n   | diversity            | diversity              | Ι     | Π        | $\mathbf{I}^2$ | $I^3$ |
|                   |     |                      |                        |       |          |                |       |
| Western Australia | 130 | 1.000 <u>+</u> 0.001 | 0.0228±0.0114          | 0.985 | 0.015    | 0.869          | 0.115 |
| Reunion Island    | 38  | 1.000±0.006          | 0.0235±0.0120          | 1.000 | -        | 0.895          | 0.105 |
| Eastern Australia | 92  | 1.000 <u>+</u> 0.002 | 0.0207 <u>+</u> 0.0104 | 1.000 | -        | 0.935          | 0.065 |

In the entire sample of 260 fish, only two clade II haplotypes were recorded; both in the Western Australia sample (Table 7). These appear to be the first clade II haplotypes found in the Indian Ocean. Clade II fish are distinguished by a single repeat of the motif TACA usually starting at base 11 of the sequence, whereas clade I fish have two or three contiguous repeats of this motif at this position (Alvarado Bremer et al. 1995). There were no significant differences in the frequencies of clade I and clade II among localities (P=0.888). Clade I was subsequently subdivided into sequences with two TACA repeats ( $I^2$ ) and those with three TACA repeats ( $I^3$ ); there were no significant differences in the frequencies of clades I and clade II among localities of clades I<sup>2</sup>, I<sup>3</sup> and II among localities (P=0.478). Representative sequences of one individual of each of clades I<sup>2</sup>, I<sup>3</sup> and II are given in Appendix 1.

The extent of sequence variation (considering all nucleotides) among and within the three locations was assessed by an AMOVA (Table 8). Nearly all the variation was due to within location variation; the percentage of variation attributable to among location differences was a non-significant 0.11. The  $F_{ST}$  value is therefore only 0.0011. As expected from this result, pairwise comparisons of the three locations failed to reveal any significant differences (Table 9).

Table 8. MtDNA. AMOVA of among location differences

| Source of variation | d.f. | Sum of squares | Variance<br>components | Percentage of variation | Р*          |
|---------------------|------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| among populations   | 2    | 16.7           | 0.01171                | 0.16                    | 0.246±0.004 |
| within populations  | 257  | 1907.0         | 7.4202                 | 99.84                   |             |
| Total               | 259  | 1923.6         | 7.4319                 |                         |             |

\*based on 10100 permutations

|                   | Western Australia | Reunion Island    | Eastern Australia |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Western Australia | -                 | $0.425 \pm 0.005$ | 0.212±0.004       |
| Reunion Island    | -0.0010           | -                 | $0.251 \pm 0.005$ |
| Eastern Australia | 0.0018            | 0.0030            | -                 |

Table 9. MtDNA. Pairwise comparisons of the three locations showing  $F_{ST}$  (below diagonal) and *P* (above diagonal) values.

Note that a contingency table approach to this sequence data is not appropriate, as virtually every haplotype in unique and a contingency analysis will therefore have no power.

#### 3.2 Microsatellite loci

Allele frequencies for each of the five microsatellite loci in each of the three populations were estimated (Appendix).

Total numbers of alleles (Table 10) per locus was very variable, ranging from 9 (locus Xg-144, the only locus with a trinucleotide repeat) to 63 (locus Xg-75), with a mean per locus of 32.4. The mean number of alleles per locus was lower in the Reunion sample (16.6) than in either the Western Australia (28.4) or Eastern Australia (27.6) samples. This does not reflect any real difference in diversity between localities; rather it reflects the reduced size of the Reunion sample (39) versus Western Australia (mean of 111.2) or Eastern Australia (mean 114.0). When examining hypervariable loci, such as these microsatellites, the larger the sample size, the more alleles will be detected.

| Locus  |             | Western<br>Australia | Reunion<br>Island | Eastern<br>Australia | Total             |
|--------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|        |             |                      |                   |                      |                   |
| Xg-55  | No. alleles | 45                   | 31                | 45                   | 51                |
|        | Sample size | 109                  | 39                | 113                  | 261               |
| Xg-56  | No. alleles | 23                   | 16                | 23                   | 25                |
| 1500   | Sample size | 112                  | 39                | 115                  | 266               |
|        |             |                      |                   |                      |                   |
| Xg-66  | No. alleles | 13                   | 10                | 11                   | 14                |
|        | Sample size | 112                  | 39                | 115                  | 266               |
|        | NT 11 1     | 50                   |                   | 50                   | <i>(</i> <b>)</b> |
| Xg-75  | No. alleles | 53                   | 36                | 52                   | 62                |
|        | Sample size | 111                  | 39                | 112                  | 262               |
| Xg-144 | No. alleles | 8                    | 5                 | 7                    | 9                 |
| C      | Sample size | 112                  | 39                | 115                  | 266               |

Table 10. Microsatellite loci. Allele diversity in each sample. Sample size is numbers of fish.

| Mean | No. alleles | 28.4  | 16.6 | 27.6  | 32.4  |
|------|-------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
|      | Sample size | 111.2 | 39.0 | 114.0 | 264.2 |

Observed heterozygosities per locus varied from around 0.50 for Xg-144 to around 0.95 for Xg-75 (Table 11). These were also the two loci with respectively the lowest and highest numbers of alleles.

Observed genotype numbers in each sample generally accorded well with Hardy-Weinberg proportions (Table 11). A total of 15 tests (5 loci x 3 samples) were carried out. At a corrected test or *P* value of 0.003 (derived from 0.05/15), two tests significantly deviated from expectations. Both were in the Western Australia sample (loci Xg-66 and Xg-144). These are the two least diverse loci for numbers of alleles and heterozygosity. Both showed significant homozygote excesses or heterozygote deficiencies, with  $F_{IS}$  values of around 0.18. In fact, all 15 samples showed homozygote excesses or heterozygote deficiencies, giving positive values for  $F_{IS}$ , although most were small and non-significant.

| Locus  |                    | Western<br>Australia | Reunion Island | Eastern<br>Australia |
|--------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Xg-55  | P of HW test       | 0.358 <u>+</u> 0.014 | 0.134±0.010    | 0.021±0.004          |
| C      | Obs heterozygosity | 0.917                | 0.949          | 0.942                |
|        | Exp heterozygosity | 0.967                | 0.966          | 0.967                |
|        | F <sub>IS</sub>    | 0.052                | 0.018          | 0.040                |
| Xg-56  | P of HW test       | 0.372±0.012          | 0.451±0.012    | 0.100±0.008          |
|        | Obs heterozygosity | 0.839                | 0.821          | 0.843                |
|        | Exp heterozygosity | 0.916                | 0.846          | 0.897                |
|        | F <sub>IS</sub>    | 0.084                | 0.031          | 0.060                |
| Xg-66  | P of HW test       | 0.001±0.001          | 0.109±0.004    | 0.235±0.007          |
| -      | Obs heterozygosity | 0.705                | 0.769          | 0.748                |
|        | Exp heterozygosity | 0.865                | 0.870          | 0.828                |
|        | F <sub>IS</sub>    | 0.185                | 0.117          | 0.097                |
| Xg-75  | P of HW test       | 0.720 <u>+</u> 0.014 | 0.369±0.015    | 0.027±0.005          |
| U      | Obs heterozygosity | 0.946                | 0.949          | 0.929                |
|        | Exp heterozygosity | 0.971                | 0.967          | 0.969                |
|        | F <sub>IS</sub>    | 0.027                | 0.020          | 0.042                |
| Xg-144 | P of HW test       | 0.001 <u>+</u> 0.001 | 0.711±0.004    | 0.498±0.007          |
| C      | Obs heterozygosity | 0.536                | 0.487          | 0.522                |

Table 11. Microsatellite loci. Probability of fit to Hardy-Weinberg expectations. Observed and expected heterozygosities and  $F_{IS}$  values (after Weir and Cockerham) also given.

| Exp heterozygosity | 0.647 | 0.526 | 0.606 |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| F <sub>IS</sub>    | 0.173 | 0.043 | 0.140 |

Variation among and within the three locations was assessed by an AMOVA using the Arlequin package (Table 12). Nearly all the variation was due to within location variation; however, the percentage of variation attributable to among location differences was a statistically significant 0.46 (P=0.001). The F<sub>ST</sub> value is therefore 0.0046 (Table 12A). These differences were then investigated on a locus by locus basis to determine which locus or loci were responsible for the differentiation. This showed that locus Xg-144 was the major contributor (Table 12B), with by far the highest  $F_{ST}$  value (0.022) and the only one significantly different from zero after Bonferroni correction. Pairwise comparisons of the three locations (Table 12C) showed that the differentiation was mostly due to the Reunion Island sample, which was significantly differentiated from Western Australia. However, even in this pairwise comparison, about 99% of all variation is due to within locality variation, with about 1% ( $F_{ST}$ =0.0113) due to population differences.

Table 12. Microsatellite loci. Testing among location differences. AMOVA analyses (Arlequin).

| Source of variation | d.f. | Sum of squares | Variance<br>components | Percentage of variation | <i>P</i> *  |
|---------------------|------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| among populations   | 2    | 7.4            | 0.0098                 | 0.46                    | 0.001±0.001 |
| within populations  | 529  | 1118.3         | 2.1140                 | 99.54                   |             |
| Total               | 531  | 1125.7         | 2.1237                 |                         |             |
| *1                  |      | 0.0046         | (D, 0, 001)            |                         |             |

#### (A) Across all three locations and five loci

\*based on 1023 permutations.  $F_{ST} = 0.0046$  (*P*=0.001)

(B) Across all three locations, locus by locus

| Locus  | d.f.   | F <sub>ST</sub> | Р     |
|--------|--------|-----------------|-------|
|        |        |                 |       |
| Xg-55  | 2, 519 | -0.0017         | 0.963 |
| Xg-56  | 2, 529 | 0.0033          | 0.086 |
| Xg-66  | 2, 529 | 0.0065          | 0.044 |
| Xg-75  | 2, 521 | -0.0009         | 0.840 |
| Xg-144 | 2, 529 | 0.0217          | 0.005 |

(C) Pairwise comparisons of the three locations showing  $F_{ST}$  (below diagonal) and P (above diagonal) values.

|                   | Western Australia | Reunion Island | Eastern Australia |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Western Australia | -                 | 0.001±0.001    | $0.044 \pm 0.007$ |

| Reunion Island    | 0.0113 | -      | 0.073±0.008 |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-------------|
| Eastern Australia | 0.0025 | 0.0039 | -           |

The extent of genic differentiation among populations was also assessed by the GenePop package (Table 13). Individual locus  $F_{ST}$  values ranged from 0.0198 (Xg-144) to -0.0020 (Xg-55), none was significant using the contingency table/Markov chain approach. These individual locus  $F_{ST}$  values were very similar to those estimated by Arlequin; the major difference lay in the *P* value attributed to locus Xg-144. This was a non-significant 0.077 in GenePop but a highly significant 0.005 in Arlequin. The  $F_{ST}$  value across loci was estimated at 0.0038 (similar to the 0.0046 estimated by the AMOVA), but the test combining the probability values across loci was non-significant (*P*=0.279). This contrasts with the highly significant value result for overall  $F_{ST}$  from Arlequin. There was also no significant pairwise heterogeneity between any pair of samples for any locus (after Bonferroni correction for 3x5 tests) or across loci, again contrasting with the Arlequin result.

Table 13. Microsatellite loci. Testing among location differences for individual loci.  $F_{ST}$  results and *P* values from contingency table/Markov chain analyses (GenePop).

| Locus                          | F <sub>ST</sub> | P value           |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
|                                |                 |                   |  |  |
| Xg-55                          | -0.0020         | 0.963±0.001       |  |  |
| Xg-56                          | 0.0030          | $0.481 \pm 0.002$ |  |  |
| Xg-66                          | 0.0053          | $0.081 \pm 0.001$ |  |  |
| Xg-75                          | -0.0012         | $0.826 \pm 0.002$ |  |  |
| Xg-144                         | 0.0198          | $0.077 \pm 0.001$ |  |  |
| Across loci: $F_{st} = 0.0038$ |                 |                   |  |  |
| Probability (Fisher's method)  |                 |                   |  |  |
| chi=12.09                      | 1, $df = 10, F$ | P = 0.279         |  |  |

(A) Across all three locations

(B) Pairwise comparisons of allele frequencies for each locus for the three locations. P values shown, from GenePop

| Loci         |                                     | Reunion Island | Eastern Australia          |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Xg-55        | Western Australia<br>Reunion Island | 0.943±0.002    | 0.821±0.004<br>0.941±0.002 |
| Xg-56        | Western Australia<br>Reunion Island | 0.156±0.003    | 0.667±0.005<br>0.597±0.005 |
| Xg-66        | Western Australia<br>Reunion Island | 0.789±0.003    | 0.013±0.001<br>0.442±0.004 |
| Xg-75        | Western Australia<br>Reunion Island | 0.413±0.005    | 0.970±0.002<br>0.534±0.005 |
| Xg-144       | Western Australia<br>Reunion Island | 0.019±0.001    | 0.293±0.005<br>0.259±0.003 |
| Across loci* | Western Australia<br>Reunion Island | 0.177          | 0.211<br>0.720             |

\*estimated by Fisher's method by combining the individual locus values and estimating a chi-square with associated degrees of freedom

The final analysis makes use of the fact that microsatellite data not only carries frequency information but also size information (number of repeat units per allele). This is Slatkin's (1995)  $R_{ST}$  approach. The AMOVA method of Arlequin permits an  $R_{ST}$  analysis; this

showed no significant differentiation among populations (Table 14), indeed, the among-population variance component or  $R_{\rm ST}$  value was negative.

| Source of variation | d.f. | Sum of squares | Variance<br>components | Percentage of variation | P*                   |
|---------------------|------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| among populations   | 2    | -22.135        | -1.614                 | -0.64                   | 0.994 <u>±</u> 0.001 |
| within populations  | 529  | 133631.6       | 252.612                | 100.64                  |                      |
| Total               | 531  | 133609.4       | 250.998                |                         |                      |

Table 14. Microsatellite loci. Testing among location differences.  $R_{\rm ST}$  analyses using AMOVA (Arlequin).

\*based on 10100 permutations.  $R_{ST} = -0.0064$ .

### 4. **DISCUSSION**

### 4.1 Mitochondrial DNA

Examination of mtDNA sequence diversity failed to reveal any statistically significant divergence among the three locations examined. These data do not allow us to reject the null hypothesis that fish from Reunion Island, western Australia and eastern Australia form part of the same gene pool.

### 4.2. Microsatellite loci

All five microsatellite loci were highly variable, with from nine (Xg-144) to 62 (Xg-75) alleles per locus. Goodness of fit of observed genotype frequencies to Hardy-Weinberg expectations were generally acceptable, except in two instances: Xg-66 in Western Australia and Xg-144, also in Western Australia. In both instances there was a significant homozygote excess (heterozygote deficiency) with  $F_{IS}$  values of around 0.18 (Table 11).

Homozygote excesses can be caused by one or a combination of several factors. Chief among these are null alleles, and population admixture.

Null alleles in the microsatellite context are alleles that do not amplify; generally because of mutations in one or other of the two priming sites or because of preferential amplification of the smaller allele in a heterozygote. A heterozygote for an expressed allele and a null allele will be wrongly scored as a homozygote for the expressed allele, leading to an apparent homozygote excess. If null alleles were the explanation for the homozygote excesses in Xg-66 and Xg-144 in Western Australia, then given the genetic similarities between locations (see later), then they would be expected to be present in the other localities too. In fact, while other localities did accord with Hardy-Weinberg expectations, they too showed homozygote excesses possibly indicative of null alleles. Table 15 shows that the mean  $F_{IS}$ values for Xg-66 and Xg-144 were substantially higher than for the other three microsatellite loci, whether or not the Western Australia sample is included. This is consistent with the presence of null alleles at these two loci in all samples. If null alleles are present, maximum likelihood estimates of frequency can be determined using the GenePop package. As expected, null allele frequencies appear to be highest for loci Xg-66 and Xg-144 (Table 15). This is especially so for locus 66 in western Australia and Reunion Island while locus 144 has the highest null frequency in western Australia. Arguing against the null allele explanation is the fact that these two loci were the least variable in terms of numbers of alleles (Table 10), had the smallest size ranges of any loci (Table 6), and perhaps the clearest and easiest-to-score gels (Xg-144 was particularly simple to score being the only tri-nucleotide repeat locus examined). Since we cannot be certain that null alleles do exist in our samples, we have ignored this possibility in our population structure analyses.

Table 15. Mean F<sub>IS</sub> values and estimates of null alleles.

| Mean F <sub>IS</sub> across populations |                   |                |      | Null allele | frequency |      |
|---|-------------------|----------------|------|-------------|-----------|------|
| Locus                                   | Western Australia | Reunion Island | West | Reunion     | East      | Mean |

|                | Reunion Island<br>Eastern Australia | Eastern Australia | Australia | Island  | Australia |       |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------|
| Xg-55          | 0.042                               | 0.019             | 0.023     | 0.006   | 0.019     | 0.016 |
| Xg-55<br>Xg-56 | 0.042                               | 0.046             | 0.023     | 0.000   | 0.019     | 0.010 |
| Xg-66          | 0.138                               | 0.107             | 0.087     | 0.099   | 0.041     | 0.076 |
| Xg-75          | 0.032                               | 0.031             | 0.010     | 0.029   | 0.021     | 0.020 |
| Xg-144         | 0.143                               | 0.092             | 0.078     | < 0.001 | 0.055     | 0.044 |

Admixture of genetically differentiated populations will also cause a homozygote excess. This is often termed the Wahlund effect. For example, if two genetically isolated and differentiated spawning stocks that shared a common feeding ground were sampled from the feeding ground, a homozygote surplus over Hardy-Weinberg expectations would be detected. The extent of this surplus depends on the degree of differentiation of the stocks; small differentiation would result in small surplus, la rge differentiation in a large surplus.

The three localities sampled in this project differ little if at all (see later) for microsatellite allele frequencies, and so admixture would not be expected to result in a significant homozygote surplus. Thus the Wahlund effect seems an unlikely explanation for the positive  $F_{IS}$  values. On the other hand, the two loci with the highest  $F_{IS}$  values (Xg-144 and Xg-66) also have the highest  $F_{ST}$  values across populations (Table 16), consistent with the hypothesis that at least part of the homozygote excess at these two loci might be attributed to population heterogeneity.

Table 16. F<sub>ST</sub> and F<sub>IS</sub> values for each locus across the three populations (GenePop).

| Locus  | F <sub>ST</sub> | F <sub>IS</sub> |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
|        |                 |                 |
| Xg-55  | -0.0020         | 0.0416          |
| Xg-56  | 0.0030          | 0.0666          |
| Xg-66  | 0.0053          | 0.1377          |
| Xg-75  | -0.0012         | 0.0317          |
| Xg-144 | 0.0198          | 0.1433          |

At present, the explanation for the small homozygote excesses at the five microsatellite loci – statistically significant for two loci – remains uncertain. The relative contributions made by null alleles, population heterogeneity, and other factors which might include genotyping errors, cannot be assessed. However, whatever the explanation, the fact remains that most population samples were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The effect of these small homozygote excesses on any conclusions about population structure is therefore likely to be negligible.

Is there significant differentiation in microsatellite loci among the three localities sampled? Several different statistical analyses of the data were carried out, with partially conflicting results.

The AMOVA analyses of allele frequencies (Table 12) did show significant differentiation among the three localities (P=0.001), although the amount of variation that could be attributed to population differentiation was very small, less than 1% ( $F_{ST}$ =0.0046). Much of this was due to locus Xg-144 and to (minor) differentiation of the Western Australian population from the others

On the other hand, the contingency table analyses of allele frequencies (Table 13) failed to show any significant differentiation among the three localities, for any individual locus or across the five loci or among pairwise population comparisons. Interestingly, the resulting F<sub>ST</sub> estimates from GenePop, for individual loci and across loci, were in fact very similar to the AMOVA estimates from Arlequin; only the P values varied. In GenePop, construction of contingency tables through resampling methods produced null distributions which were tested using a chi square analysis. Arlequin used permutation and resampling procedures and F statistics. Locus Xg-144 in particular was deemed to be non-significantly differentiated by GenePop (F<sub>sT</sub>=0.0198, P=0.077) but very significantly differentiated by Arlequin ( $F_{ST}=0.0217$ , P=0.005). The order of magnitude difference in P values is likely attributable to the fact that the conventional chi square test employed in Monte Carlo randomization methods implemented in GenePop is conservative (Lewontin and Felsenstein 1965, Sokal and Rohlf 1995, pg 810) and thus divergences must be large to show significant differences between populations of small sample size. Hence, the probability of a Type I error will be lower in GenePop than Arlequin. In both methods, accuracy of the statistical tests depends on sample size and the number of permutations used to generate the null distributions.

The AMOVA analysis of microsatellite repeat number variation (Table 14) failed to show any significant differentiation among the three localities. Indeed, the estimated amount of variation that could be attributed to population differentiation was slightly negative ( $R_{ST}$ =-0.0064, non-significant).

Thus, one statistical test revealed significant (if small) microsatellite differentiation among populations but others found non-significant differences. Had there been sizeable differentiation, then all statistical approaches would have been expected to show it. The evidence for differentiation at microsatellite loci among these three localities is therefore weak and may require still larger sample sizes to conclusively support differentiation among the three populations sampled in this study. However, an interesting trend is apparent. Both GenePop and Arlequin show that comparisons between western Australia and either Reunion Island or East Australia results in lower P values than comparisons between these latter two populations.

#### 4.3 Combining mtDNA and microsatellite data

The mtDNA data showed no statistically significant evidence of differentiation among the three localities. The microsatellite data were somewhat equivocal but indicated that differentiation, if any, was very limited. The suggestion from the microsatellite data that Western Australia might be a little different from Reunion Island and Eastern Australia was not supported by the mtDNA data. While it is tempting to speculate that Reunion Island might be different from Australian populations by its proximity to the Atlantic Ocean (Atlantic Ocean swordfish are known to be differentiated from IndoPacific swordfish for both mtDNA and microsatellites (Alvarado Bremer et al 1996, Chow and Takeyama 2000, Rosel and Block 1996, Reeb unpublished), and might receive some immigrants from the Atlantic, we have no hard evidence that this is in fact the case. Indeed, the presence of two mtDNA clade II fish in the Western Australia sample and their absence from Reunion was only 38 compared with closer to 100 for each of the Western and Eastern Australia localities so the rare Clade II haplotypes were likely missed.

The genetic data on swordfish in the eastern and western Australian fishing zones fail to show strong evidence of population differentiation. There may be a single stock in this area, possibly connected by gene flow around Tasmania and southern Australia. Arguing against this is the slight microsatellite differentiation of Western Australia. In addition, the presence of two mtDNA clade II fish in the Western Australia sample and its absence from all Pacific Ocean fish examined to date is tentalizing evidence of possible Atlantic input into the Indian Ocean swordfish population but not into the Pacific population. Indian Ocean swordfish are believed to move with the warm Aguhlas current that sweeps around South Africa into the Atlantic Ocean (Penny and Griffiths 1998), and maybe there is some reciprocal movement too.

#### 4.4 Indo-Pacific swordfish population structure

It is clear that the West Australia samples we examined from the Indian Ocean were genetically very similar to East Australia samples from the Pacific Ocean, for both mtDNA and microsatellite loci. These data were put into a broader context by comparing them with mtDNA and microsatellite variation in other samples analysed (Reeb et al. 2000, and Reeb, unpublished) from the Pacific Ocean (Chile, Ecuador, Japan) and the Atlantic Ocean (Brazil).

An earlier examination of mtDNA sequence diversity had revealed evidence of a  $\supset$  shaped corridor of gene flow within the Pacific Ocean (Reeb et al. 2000). Chilean and Japanese populations appeared to be genetically distinct with ranges that overlapped in the eastern Pacific. The East Australia sample examined here was not different from the Chilean sample (*P*=0.315) but was distinct from the Japanese sample (*P*=0.014). This then adds to the evidence of different northern and southern hemisphere stocks of swordfish in the Pacific.

It is noteworthy that all but two fish of the fish sampled in the present project were mtDNA clade I, and that the two clade II fish were both from the Western Australia sample. Available data on the distribution of these two clades, by ocean (Table 17), show that these are the only clade II fish so far found in the Indian Ocean and no clade II fish have been detected in the Pacific Ocean. While this difference is not statistically significant (P=0.186), the presence of clade II fish at low levels in the Indian Ocean and at quite high frequency in the Atlantic Ocean (around 0.17, perhaps less in the South Atlantic - see Table 2), might suggest some limited gene flow from the Atlantic into the Indian Ocean but not into the Pacific Ocean. This gene flow could be current or historical. Reunion Island is far closer to the Atlantic than is Western Australia, and the absence of clade II fish at Reunion might simply reflect its small sample size (38 vs. 130). Chow and Takeyama (2000), while not indicating whether any clade II fish were present, failed to show any significant differences in restriction fragment patterns of the mtDNA d-loop from 84 Indian Ocean and 236 Pacific Ocean fish. Thus the clade I versus II data do not allow us to reject the null hypothesis of a single Indo-Pacific stock.

|               | Cla         | ade        |     |                             |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| Ocean/Sea     | Ι           | II         | n   | Reference                   |
|               |             |            |     |                             |
| Pacific       | 1.000 (351) | 0.000 (0)  | 351 | see below                   |
| Indian        | 0.988 (128) | 0.012 (2)  | 168 | see below                   |
| Atlantic      | 0.827 (148) | 0.173 (31) | 179 | see below                   |
| Mediterranean | 0.594 (57)  | 0.406 (39) | 96  | see below                   |
| Data sources: |             |            |     |                             |
| Data sources. |             |            |     |                             |
| Pacific       | 26          | 0          | 26  | Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996 |
| Pacific       | 245         | 0          | 245 | Reeb et al. 2000            |
| Pacific       | 80          | 0          | 80  | this study <sup>1</sup>     |
| Indian        | 36          | 0          | 36  | Reeb et al. 2000            |
| Indian        | 130         | 2          | 132 | this study <sup>2</sup>     |
| Atlantic      | 121         | 24         | 122 | Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996 |
| Atlantic      | 27          | 7          | 34  | Rosel and Block 1996        |
| Mediterranean | 47          | 29         | 76  | Alvarado Bremer et al. 1996 |
| Mediterranean | 10          | 10         | 20  | Rosel and Block 1996        |

Table 17. Summary of the distribution of mtDNA clade I and clade II fish.

 $^{-1}$ excludes 12 eastern Australia samples already included in Reeb et al. 2000

<sup>2</sup>excludes 36 western Australia samples already included in Reeb et al. 2000

To summarise, the mtDNA data suggest that there is a northern Pacific swordfish stock and a southern Pacific swordfish stock, overlapping in the central east Pacific, and that southern Pacific stock swordfish cannot at present be separated from Indian Ocean swordfish (Table 18). This result was obtained by Reeb et al. (2000) and is again observed here.

Table 18. Mitochondrial DNA Sequence. Testing among group differences. F<sub>ST</sub> analysis. Groups are (Reunion Island, East Australia, West Australia), (Chile/), (Japan, Hawaii, California/Mexico) AMOVA analyses (Arlequin).

| Source of variation   | d.f.       | Sum of squares  | Variance components        | Percentage of variation |
|---|------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| among groups<br>among populations<br>within groups          | 2<br>4     | 31.588<br>31.563                                      | 0.06626<br>0.01049         | 0.90<br>0.14            |
| within populations<br>Total                                 | 432<br>438 | 3130.567<br>3193.718                                  | 7.24668<br>7.32344         | 98.95                   |
| Source of variation   |            |   | Fixation<br>index          | P value                 |
| among groups<br>among populations with<br>among populations | iin groups | F <sub>CT</sub><br>F <sub>SC</sub><br>F <sub>ST</sub> | 0.0091<br>0.0015<br>0.0105 | 0.017<br>0.258<br>0.001 |

This conclusion of at least two separable stocks is different from the one that Chow and Takeyama (2000) reached. They reported no mtDNA genetic differentiation between Indian Ocean samples (total n = 84, from three regions: tropical north east, tropical southeast, tropic al west) and Pacific Ocean samples (total n=236, six regions: northwest, tropical central north, northeast, tropical east, southeast, southwest). It may be that the RFLP approach they took (rather similar to clade I vs clade II) for mtDNA analysis was not sufficiently sensitive to detect the limited differentiation we observe following DNA sequencing.

For the first microsatellite Indo-Pacific analysis, the Reunion Island and East and West Australia samples were pooled, and compared with Chile and Ecuador (pooled), and Japan giving three groups. An AMOVA-based  $F_{ST}$  analysis (data not shown) revealed significant differences among the five populations (P = 0.0048, Chile and Ecuador remaining pooled), no significant differences among groups (P = 0.5993), and a significant difference among populations within groups (P = 0.0034). This suggests that the Indo-Pacific may comprise more than one stock. However, an  $R_{ST}$  analysis of the data, again carried out as an AMOVA in Arlequin, showed no significant differentiation (data not shown).

The conclusion from the microsatellite analysis is that clear statistical support for stock structure in the Indo-Pacific is limited, but there is some evidence of stock heterogeneity, especially in the Indian Ocean with the western Australian population as noted earlier. Chow and Takeyama (2000) found no evidence of differentiation among Indo-Pacific samples for another nuclear DNA locus (calmodulin gene intron 4, *CaM*).

Combing the mtDNA and nuclear DNA evidence suggests several stocks: (1) a northern Pacific stock (differentiated by mtDNA), (2) a southern Pacific stock (differentiated by mtDNA) which is, surprisingly, genetically similar to Reunion Island, and (3) a western Australia stock (differentiated by microsatellite DNA).

The western Australia differentiation is unexpected. The Indonesian throughflow, a net flow of surface water from the southern extremity of the Asian mainland through the Indonesian archipelago to north-west Australia (e.g. Meyers et al 1995, Gordon and Fine 1996, Schiller et al 1998), may well transport swordfish larvae and juveniles from the western tropical Pacific to the north-east Indian Ocean. The western tropical Pacific is an important spawning ground of swordfish, and high concentrations of larvae have been reported in the north-east but not western parts of the Indian Ocean (Nishikawa et al 1985). Adult movements along the southern coasts of Australia and Tasmania might also have been expected to lead to gene flow between the eastern Pacific and western Indian Ocean. Records of Japanese long liners show that appreciable numbers of swordfish have been taken from off South Australia (R. Campbell, pers. com.).

The stock structure of Australia's swordfish resource - whether Australia's fished populations represent distinct stocks or are parts of much larger stocks - is critical for management (Ward and Elscot 2000, page 149). However, our study was unable to unequivocally resolve this issue. If there are distinct stocks, then the degree of separation of them is small. A larger study of the genetics of swordfish of this area is required.

A tagging study of the eastern and western populations would provide valuable data. Such data are not available for Indian or Pacific Ocean populations. This may at least partly reflect the difficulty of such studies for swordfish, as the fish are relatively scarce and very valuable. In addition, swordfish obtained on longlines are usually dead or moribund when lines are retrieved, and those retrieved alive are aggressive and difficult to tag (Ward and Elscot 2000, page 126).

#### 4.5 Future research

We recommend a larger scale examination of this topic. Some suggestions of stock structure were seen in the present data (which met the sample sizes proposed in the project outline), including the possible differentiation of western Australia, but they need to be confirmed or rejected from a larger data set. Either outcome has important implications for management. We would recommend that sample sizes be increased to a minimum sample size of 200 from each of eastern and western Australia, and that at least three additional regions be examined in the Indian Ocean. It would also be beneficial to increase the number of microsatellite loci from five to eight. An analysis of mtDNA should also form part of the proposed project. We recommend that this take the form of sequencing as performed herein; a restriction length polymorphism approach is likely to lack adequate power.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was largely funded by the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (project R99/0159). Funding for this project was also provided by Cooperative Agreement Number NA37RJ0199 from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NOAA or any of its subagencies. We are very grateful to François Rene, Erica Starling and Kevin Williams for supplying swordfish tissue samples for this project. Shana Beemer provided excellent technical assistance in DNA extractions and sequencing

#### REFERENCES

Alvarado Bremer, J.A., Baker, A.J. and Mejuto, J. 1995. Mitochondrial DNA control region sequences indicate extensive mixing of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) in the Atlantic Ocean. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52: 1720-1732.

Alvarado Bremer, J.A., Mejuto, J., Greig, T.W and Ely, B. 1996. Global population structure of the swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* L.) as revealed by analysis of the mitochondrial DNA control region. J. Exptl. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 197: 295-310.

Chow, S. and Takeyama, H. 2000. Nuclear and mitochondrial DNA analyses reveal four genetically separated breeding units of the swordfish. J Fish Biol. 56, 1087-1098.

Chow, S., Okamoto, H., Uozumi, Y., Takeuchi, Y. and Takeyama, H. 1997. Genetic stock structure of the swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) inferred by PCR-RFLP analysis of the mitochondrial DNA control region. Mar. Biol. 127: 359-367.

Excoffier, L., Smouse, P. and Quattro, J. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131:479-491.

Grijalva-Chon, J.M., Numachi, K., Sosa-Nishizaki, O. and de la Rosa-Velez, J. 1994. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of North Pacific swordfish *Xiphias gladius* population structure. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 115: 15-19.

Grijalva-Chon, J.M., de la Rosa-Vélez, J. and Sosa-Nishizaki, O. 1996. Allozyme variability in two samples of swordfish, *Xiphias gladius* L., in the north Pacific Ocean. Fish. Bull. 94: 589-594.

Guo, S. and Thompson, E.1992. Performing the exact test of Hardy-Weinberg proportion for multiple alleles. Biometrics 48: 361-372.

Kotoulas, G., Magoulas, A., Tsimenides, N. and Zouros, E. 1995. Marked mitochondrial DNA differences between Mediterranean and Atlantic populations of the swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*. Mol. Ecol. 4: 473-481.

Lewontin, R.C. and Felsenstein, J. 1965. The robustness of homogeneity tests in  $2 \times n$  tables. Biometrics 21: 19-33.

Mejuto J. 1991. Brief note on swordfish tagged by the Spanish commercial fleet in the Atlantic (1984-1990). ICCAT (International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas). Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, XXXV (2), 470-472.

Michalakis, Y. and Excoffier, L. 1996. A generic estimation of population subdivision using distances between alleles with special reference to microsatellite loci. Genetics 142:1061-1064.

Nei, M. 1987. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. Columbia University Press, New York, NY, USA.

Penny A.J. and Griffiths M.H. (1998) A first description of the developing South African pelagic longline fishery. Working paper SCRS/98 presented at the SCRS Swordfish Working Meeting, ICCAT, Madrid.

Raymond, M and Rousset, F. 1995. GenePop, (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J. Heredity 86: 248-249.

Reeb, C.A., Arcangeli, L. and Block, B.A. 2000. Structure and migration corridors in Pacific populations of the swordfish *Xiphias gladius*, as inferred through analyses of mitochondrial DNA. Mar. Biol. 136: 1123-1131.

Riedl, R. 1983 Fauna and flora des mittelmeeres. Verlag Paul Parey

Rosel, P.E. and Block, B.A. 1996. Mitochondrial control region variability and global population structure in the swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*. Mar. Biol. 125: 11-22.

Schiller A, Godfrey JS, McIntosh PC, Meyers G, Wijffels SE (1998) Seasonal nearsurface dynamics and thermodynamics of the Indian Ocean and Indonesian throughflow in a global ocean general circulation model. J. Phys. Ocean. 28: 2288-2312

Schneider, S., Kueffer, J-M, Roessli, D., and Excoffier, L. (2000). Arlequin ver. 2.0: a Software for Population Genetic Analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Switzerland.

Sokal, R. and Rohlf, F. 1995. Biometry: W.H. Freeman and Company. New York., NY. USA.

Slatkin, M. 1995. A measure of population subdivision based on microsatellite allele frequencies. Genetics 139: 457-462.

Tamura, K and Nei, M. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in human and chimpanzees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 10: 51-526.

Ward, P. and Elscot, S. 2000. Broadbill Swordfish: status of world fisheries. Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra,

# APPENDIX 1. Representative sequences of mtDNA clades I2, I3 and II

#### Clade I2

Fish East Australia 99 SW09

| 1   | CATTTTT-   | AAA-TACATA | CA         | TGTATGTATT | AACACCATAC |
|-----|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 51  | ACTTATATTA | ACCATATAAT | CCAATGTTTC | AGCACATAAT | ATGTAATTCG |
| 101 | ACCATTA-AT | AACAATGTAA | CCATTCATAC | TTATACAATA | ATAATAAGGT |
| 151 | AGACATAAAC | CATAATTTTG | TAGCTTCAAT | AAGACACTTA | G-T-TAAACA |
| 201 | AG-CAGAAAC | TTAAGACCTA | GCACTTTAAA | TTAATGTGTC | TAGTTATACC |
| 251 | AGGACTCAAA | TTCCGATTGA | ACCCAGAATC | TTAATGTAGT | AAGAGACCAC |
| 301 | CAACCAGTAT | ATTTCACxxT | CACTTGACAT | T-TCAGAGTG | CACACGGTAT |
| 351 | AATTAAAATA | AGGTTGTACA | TTTCCTTGCT | TGG-AAGAAA | ATTTATTAAA |
| 401 | GGTGGAAAGA | CTTTACACAA | GAAA-TACAT | ACTTGGATAT | CAAGGACATA |
| 451 | AAGTATTTGT | TCTTCTCCTA | AAATACCTAA | GATACCCCCC | GTTTTTG    |
| 501 | CGCGTAAAAC | CCCCC-TACC | CCCCAAAACT | CCAgAGATCA | CTAACACTCC |
| 551 | TGAAAACCCC | CCGGAAACAG | GAAAACCTCT | AGCAGCTTCT | TTTTACCTCA |
| 601 | AAATGCGTCT | ATTTATACTA | TTAAAATATT | TCACATGC   |            |

#### Clade I3

Fish East Australia 99 SW14

| 1      | TATTTTT-    | AAA - TACATA | CATACA     | TGTATGTATT | AACACCATAC |
|--------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 51     | ACTTATATTA  | ACCATATAAT   | CCAATGTTTT | AGCACATAAT | ATGTAATTCA |
| 101    | ACCATTA-AT  | AACAATGTAA   | CCATTCATAC | TTATACAACA | ATAATAAGGT |
| 151    | AGACATAAAC  | CATAATTTTG   | TAACCTCAAT | AAGACACTTA | A-T-TAAACA |
| 201    | Ag-CAGAAAC  | TTAAGACCTA   | GCACTTtAAA | TTAATGTGTC | TAGTTATACC |
| 251    | AGGACTCAAA  | TTCCGATTGA   | ACCCAGAATC | TTAATGTAGT | AAGAGACCAC |
| 301    | CAACCAGCAT  | ATTTCACxxT   | CACTtGACAT | T-TCAGAGTG | CACACGGTAT |
| 351    | AATTAAAATA  | AGGTTGTACA   | TTTCCTTGCT | TGG-AAGAAA | ATTTATTAAA |
| 401    | GGTGGAAAGA  | CTTTACACAA   | GAGA-TACAT | ATTTGGATAT | CAAGGACATA |
| 451    | AAGTATTTGT  | TCTTCTCCTA   | AAATACCTAA | GATACCCCCC | GTTTTTG    |
| 501    | CGCGTAAAAC  | CCCCC-TaCC   | CCCCAAAACT | CCAGAGATCA | CTAACACTCC |
| 551    | TGAAAACCCC  | CCGGAAACAG   | GAAAACCTCT | AGCAGCTTCT | TTTTACCTCA |
| 601 AA | AATGCGTCT A | TTTACACTA    | TTAAAATAT  | T TCACATGC |            |

#### Clade II

Fish West Australia 99 SW123

| 1      | CATTTTT-   | AAA-TACA   |            | TGTATGTATT | AACACCATAC |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 51     | ATTTATATTA | ACCATATAAT | CCAATGTTTT | AGTGCATAAT | ATGTAACTCG |
| 101    | ACCATT-TAT | AACAATGTAA | CCATTCGTGC | TCACACAACA | ATAATAAGGT |
| 151    | AGACATAAAC | CATAGCCTTG | TAACTTCAAT | AAGACATTTA | A-TCTAAACA |
| 201    | AA-CAGAAAC | TTAAGACCTA | ACACTTCAAA | TTAATGTGTC | TAGTTATACC |
| 251    | AGGACTCAAA | TTCCGGTTGA | ACTCCAGAAT | CCTTAATGTA | GTAAGAGACC |
| 301    | ACCAACCAGC | ATATCTCxxT | CaCTTGACaT | T-TCAGAGTG | CACACGGTAT |
| 351    | ААСТААААТА | AGGTTGTACA | TTTCCTTGCT | TGGGAAGAAA | ATCTATTAAA |
| 401    | GGTGGAAAGA | CTTTACACAA | GAAA-TACAT | ACTTGGATAT | CAAGGACATA |
| 451    | AAGTATTTGT | TCTTCTCCTA | AAATACCTAA | gATACCCCCC | GTTTTTG    |
| 501    | CGCGTAAAAC | CCCCC-TACC | CCCCAAAACT | CCAgAgATCA | CTAACACTCC |
| 551    | TGAAAACCCC | CCGGAAACAG | GAAAACCTCT | AGCAGCTTCT | TTTTACCTCA |
| 601 AA | ATGCGTCT A | TTTACACTA  | TTAAAATAT  | Г TCACATGC |            |

**APPENDIX 2**. Allele frequencies for five microsatellite loci in swordfish from three localities in the Indo-Pacific. Also given are observed and Hardy-Weinberg expected heterozygosities, and *P* values for goodness-of-fit to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

| Xg- 55<br>Allele Size | Repeats | West Australia    | Reunion Island | East Australia |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                       | nopouis | vi est i fastalla |                |                |
| 88                    | 1       | -                 | -              | 0.0133         |
| 90                    | 2       | 0.0046            | -              | -              |
| 96                    | 5       | 0.0046            | -              | -              |
| 98                    | 6       | 0.0046            | -              | 0.0044         |
| 100                   | 7       | 0.0321            | -              | 0.0133         |
| 102                   | 8       | 0.0046            | 0.0128         | 0.0088         |
| 104                   | 9       | 0.0046            | 0.0256         | 0.0133         |
| 106                   | 10      | 0.0275            | 0.0128         | 0.0177         |
| 108                   | 11      | 0.0229            | 0.0128         | 0.0221         |
| 110                   | 12      | 0.0275            | 0.0641         | 0.0442         |
| 112                   | 13      | 0.0505            | 0.0513         | 0.0442         |
| 114                   | 14      | 0.0505            | 0.0769         | 0.0664         |
| 116                   | 15      | 0.0505            | 0.0385         | 0.0442         |
| 118                   | 16      | 0.0413            | 0.0897         | 0.0619         |
| 120                   | 17      | 0.0550            | 0.0641         | 0.0310         |
| 122                   | 18      | 0.0505            | 0.0385         | 0.0619         |
| 124                   | 19      | 0.0550            | 0.0513         | 0.0619         |
| 126                   | 20      | 0.0596            | 0.0385         | 0.0265         |
| 128                   | 21      | 0.0413            | 0.0513         | 0.0398         |
| 130                   | 22      | 0.0183            | 0.0128         | 0.0487         |
| 132                   | 23      | 0.0275            | 0.0128         | 0.0354         |
| 134                   | 24      | 0.0505            | 0.0385         | 0.0354         |
| 136                   | 25      | 0.0367            | 0.0385         | 0.0354         |
| 138                   | 26      | 0.0275            | 0.0128         | 0.0221         |
| 140                   | 27      | 0.0229            | 0.0513         | 0.0177         |
| 142                   | 28      | 0.0184            | 0.0256         | 0.0310         |
| 144                   | 29      | 0.0321            | 0.0256         | 0.0177         |
| 146                   | 30      | 0.0137            | 0.0256         | 0.0133         |
| 148                   | 31      | 0.0321            | -              | 0.0133         |
| 150                   | 32      | 0.0046            | -              | 0.0133         |
| 152                   | 33      | 0.0321            | 0.0256         | 0.0044         |
| 154                   | 34      | 0.0092            | -              | 0.0044         |
| 156                   | 35      | 0.0046            | 0.0128         | 0.0088         |
| 158                   | 36      | 0.0092            | 0.0256         | 0.0088         |
| 160                   | 37      | 0.0046            | -              | 0.0133         |
| 162                   | 38      | 0.0092            | -              | 0.0265         |
| 164                   | 39      | 0.0092            | 0.0128         | 0.0088         |
| 166                   | 40      | 0.0046            | -              | 0.0088         |

| 168        | 41 | 0.0046 | -      | 0.0133 |
|------------|----|--------|--------|--------|
| 170        | 42 | 0.0092 | 0.0128 | 0.0044 |
| 174        | 44 | -      | -      | 0.0088 |
| 176        | 45 | 0.0046 | -      | 0.0044 |
| 178        | 46 | -      | -      | 0.0044 |
| 180        | 47 | 0.0046 | 0.0128 | 0.0044 |
| 184        | 49 | 0.0092 | -      | 0.0088 |
| 188        | 51 | 0.0046 | -      | -      |
| 190        | 52 | -      | 0.0128 | -      |
| 196        | 55 | 0.0046 | -      | 0.0044 |
| 200        | 57 | -      | -      | 0.0044 |
| 202        | 58 | -      | 0.0128 | -      |
| 204        | 59 | 0.0046 | -      | -      |
| n          |    | 109    | 39     | 113    |
| Obs.Heter. |    | 0.917  | 0.949  | 0.942  |
| Exp.Heter  |    | 0.967  | 0.966  | 0.967  |
| P. value   |    | 0.358  | 0.134  | 0.021  |
|            |    |        |        |        |

| Xg-56       |         |                |                |                |
|-------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Allele Size | Repeats | West Australia | Reunion Island | East Australia |
|             |         |                |                |                |
| 108         | 2       | 0.0045         | -              | -              |
| 120         | 8       | 0.0402         | 0.0128         | 0.0304         |
| 122         | 9       | 0.0491         | 0.0641         | 0.0696         |
| 124         | 10      | 0.0446         | 0.0128         | 0.0217         |
| 126         | 11      | 0.0268         | 0.0128         | 0.0087         |
| 128         | 12      | 0.1384         | 0.0641         | 0.1217         |
| 130         | 13      | 0.0223         | 0.0128         | 0.0304         |
| 132         | 14      | 0.0536         | 0.1154         | 0.0565         |
| 134         | 15      | 0.1920         | 0.3333         | 0.2435         |
| 136         | 16      | 0.0714         | 0.1410         | 0.0870         |
| 138         | 17      | 0.0670         | 0.0513         | 0.0565         |
| 140         | 18      | 0.0491         | 0.0128         | 0.0305         |
| 142         | 19      | 0.0402         | 0.0128         | 0.0652         |
| 144         | 20      | 0.0714         | 0.0769         | 0.0652         |
| 146         | 21      | 0.0223         | 0.0385         | 0.0087         |
| 148         | 22      | 0.0089         | 0.0256         | 0.0304         |
| 150         | 23      | 0.0402         | 0.0128         | 0.0261         |
| 152         | 24      | 0.0089         | -              | 0.0087         |
| 154         | 25      | 0.0179         | -              | 0.0043         |
| 156         | 26      | 0.0179         | -              | 0.0130         |
| 162         | 29      | -              | -              | 0.0043         |
| 164         | 30      | 0.0045         | -              | 0.0043         |
| 166         | 31      | 0.0045         | -              | 0.0087         |

| 168        | 32 | 0.0045 | -     | -      |
|------------|----|--------|-------|--------|
| 170        | 33 | -      | -     | 0.0043 |
| n          |    | 112    | 39    | 115    |
| Obs.Heter. |    | 0.839  | 0.821 | 0.843  |
| Exp.Heter  |    | 0.916  | 0.846 | 0.897  |
| P value    |    | 0.372  | 0.451 | 0.100  |

| Xg-66<br>Allele Size | Repeats | West Australia | Reunion Island | East Australia |
|----------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                      | 1       |                |                |                |
| 126                  | 8       | 0.0759         | 0.1154         | 0.0696         |
| 128                  | 9       | 0.0179         | 0.0128         | 0.0043         |
| 130                  | 10      | 0.0223         | 0.0256         | 0.0217         |
| 132                  | 11      | 0.1518         | 0.1154         | 0.0565         |
| 134                  | 12      | 0.1786         | 0.2308         | 0.3087         |
| 136                  | 13      | 0.1875         | 0.1795         | 0.1826         |
| 138                  | 14      | 0.1250         | 0.0897         | 0.1087         |
| 140                  | 15      | 0.1473         | 0.1154         | 0.1391         |
| 142                  | 16      | 0.0670         | 0.0641         | 0.0870         |
| 144                  | 17      | 0.0134         | 0.0513         | 0.0174         |
| 146                  | 18      | -              | -              | 0.0043         |
| 148                  | 19      | 0.0045         | -              | -              |
| 154                  | 22      | 0.0045         | -              | -              |
| 160                  | 25      | 0.0045         | -              | -              |
| n                    |         | 112            | 39             | 115            |
| Obs.Heter.           |         | 0.705          | 0.769          | 0.748          |
| Exp.Heter            |         | 0.865          | 0.870          | 0.828          |
| P value              |         | 0.0007*        | 0.109          | 0.235          |

| Xg- | 75 |
|-----|----|
| 15  | 15 |

| 152 $30$ $0.0045$ $  158$ $33$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $164$ $36$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $166$ $37$ $0.0180$ $0.0128$ $0.0179$ $168$ $38$ $0.0045$ $  170$ $39$ $0.0090$ $0.0128$ $ 172$ $40$ $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $174$ $41$ $0.0135$ $ 0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$                   | Allele Size | Repeats | West Australia | Reunion Island | East Australia |
|---|-------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 13823- $0.0128$ -148280.004515230 $0.0045$ 15833 $0.0045$ - $0.0089$ 16436 $0.0045$ - $0.0089$ 16637 $0.0180$ $0.0128$ $0.0179$ 16838 $0.0045$ 17039 $0.0090$ $0.0128$ -17240 $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ 17441 $0.0135$ - $0.0089$ 17642 $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ 17843 $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ 18044 $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ 18245 $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ 18446 $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ 18647 $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ 18848 $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$   |             |         |                |                |                |
| 148 $28$ 0.0045 $152$ $30$ $0.0045$ $158$ $33$ $0.0045$ - $0.0089$ $164$ $36$ $0.0045$ - $0.0089$ $166$ $37$ $0.0180$ $0.0128$ $0.0179$ $168$ $38$ $0.0045$ $170$ $39$ $0.0090$ $0.0128$ - $172$ $40$ $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $174$ $41$ $0.0135$ - $0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$ |             |         | -              | -              | 0.0045         |
| 152 $30$ $0.0045$ $  158$ $33$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $164$ $36$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $166$ $37$ $0.0180$ $0.0128$ $0.0179$ $168$ $38$ $0.0045$ $  170$ $39$ $0.0090$ $0.0128$ $ 172$ $40$ $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $174$ $41$ $0.0135$ $ 0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$                   | 138         | 23      | -              | 0.0128         | -              |
| 158 $33$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $164$ $36$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $166$ $37$ $0.0180$ $0.0128$ $0.0179$ $168$ $38$ $0.0045$ $  170$ $39$ $0.0090$ $0.0128$ $ 172$ $40$ $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $174$ $41$ $0.0135$ $ 0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$   | 148         | 28      | -              | -              | 0.0045         |
| 164 $36$ $0.0045$ $ 0.0089$ $166$ $37$ $0.0180$ $0.0128$ $0.0179$ $168$ $38$ $0.0045$ $  170$ $39$ $0.0090$ $0.0128$ $ 172$ $40$ $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $174$ $41$ $0.0135$ $ 0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$   | 152         | 30      | 0.0045         | -              | -              |
| 166370.01800.01280.0179168380.0045170390.00900.0128-172400.00450.02560.0045174410.0135-0.0089176420.02700.02560.0045178430.01350.01280.0134180440.03600.01280.0357182450.03150.01280.0402184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268  | 158         | 33      | 0.0045         | -              | 0.0089         |
| 168 $38$ $0.0045$ $  170$ $39$ $0.0090$ $0.0128$ $ 172$ $40$ $0.0045$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $174$ $41$ $0.0135$ $ 0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$   | 164         | 36      | 0.0045         | -              | 0.0089         |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 166         | 37      | 0.0180         | 0.0128         | 0.0179         |
| 172400.00450.02560.0045174410.0135-0.0089176420.02700.02560.0045178430.01350.01280.0134180440.03600.01280.0357182450.03150.01280.0402184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268  | 168         | 38      | 0.0045         | -              | -              |
| 174 $41$ $0.0135$ - $0.0089$ $176$ $42$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0045$ $178$ $43$ $0.0135$ $0.0128$ $0.0134$ $180$ $44$ $0.0360$ $0.0128$ $0.0357$ $182$ $45$ $0.0315$ $0.0128$ $0.0402$ $184$ $46$ $0.0270$ $0.0256$ $0.0268$ $186$ $47$ $0.0541$ $0.0897$ $0.0491$ $188$ $48$ $0.0405$ $0.0641$ $0.0268$  | 170         | 39      | 0.0090         | 0.0128         | -              |
| 176420.02700.02560.0045178430.01350.01280.0134180440.03600.01280.0357182450.03150.01280.0402184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268   | 172         | 40      | 0.0045         | 0.0256         | 0.0045         |
| 178430.01350.01280.0134180440.03600.01280.0357182450.03150.01280.0402184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268  | 174         | 41      | 0.0135         | -              | 0.0089         |
| 180440.03600.01280.0357182450.03150.01280.0402184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268   | 176         | 42      | 0.0270         | 0.0256         | 0.0045         |
| 182450.03150.01280.0402184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268  | 178         | 43      | 0.0135         | 0.0128         | 0.0134         |
| 184460.02700.02560.0268186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268   | 180         | 44      | 0.0360         | 0.0128         | 0.0357         |
| 186470.05410.08970.0491188480.04050.06410.0268  | 182         | 45      | 0.0315         | 0.0128         | 0.0402         |
| 188 48 0.0405 0.0641 0.0268   | 184         | 46      | 0.0270         | 0.0256         | 0.0268         |
|   | 186         | 47      | 0.0541         | 0.0897         | 0.0491         |
| 190 49 0.0450 0.0128 0.0491   | 188         | 48      | 0.0405         | 0.0641         | 0.0268         |
|   | 190         | 49      | 0.0450         | 0.0128         | 0.0491         |

| 192            | 50       | 0.0631 | 0.0385 | 0.0580   |
|----------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| 194            | 51       | 0.0405 | 0.0513 | 0.0670   |
| 196            | 52       | 0.0405 | 0.0769 | 0.0580   |
| 198            | 53       | 0.0405 | 0.0769 | 0.0402   |
| 200            | 54       | 0.0631 | 0.0256 | 0.0491   |
| 202            | 55       | 0.0541 | 0.0769 | 0.0491   |
| 204            | 56       | 0.0360 | 0.0256 | 0.0402   |
| 206            | 57       | 0.0045 | 0.0385 | 0.0089   |
| 208            | 58       | 0.0045 | -      | 0.0089   |
| 210            | 59       | 0.0270 | 0.0128 | 0.0134   |
| 212            | 60       | 0.0270 | -      | 0.0179   |
| 214            | 61       | 0.0315 | 0.0128 | 0.0179   |
| 216            | 62       | 0.0180 | 0.0256 | 0.0268   |
| 218            | 63       | 0.0180 | -      | 0.0089   |
| 220            | 64       | 0.0090 | 0.0128 | 0.0179   |
| 222            | 65       | 0.0045 | 0.0256 | 0.0045   |
| 224            | 66       | 0.0090 | 0.0128 | 0.0223   |
| 226            | 67       | 0.0135 | 0.0128 | 0.0446   |
| 228            | 68       | 0.0090 | -      | 0.0223   |
| 230            | 69       | 0.0270 | 0.0128 | 0.0045   |
| 232            | 70       | 0.0090 | -      | 0.0134   |
| 234            | 71       | 0.0135 | 0.0128 | 0.0089   |
| 236            | 72       | 0.0135 | 0.0128 | 0.0134   |
| 238            | 73       | 0.0045 | -      | 0.0045   |
| 240            | 73<br>74 | 0.0225 | -      | 0.0089   |
| 242            | 75       | 0.0090 | _      | 0.0045   |
| 244            | 76       | 0.0045 | _      | 0.0045   |
| 246            | 70       | 0.0045 | _      | 0.0045   |
| 248            | 78       | 0.0045 | 0.0256 | 0.0049   |
| 250            | 70<br>79 | -      | 0.0256 | 0.0089   |
| 250<br>252     | 80       | _      | 0.0128 | 0.0045   |
| 252<br>254     | 81       | 0.0045 | 0.0128 | 0.0049   |
| 254<br>256     | 82       | 0.0045 | -      | -        |
| 260<br>260     | 82<br>84 | -      | _      | 0.0045   |
| 260<br>262     | 85       | 0.0045 | _      | 0.0045   |
| 262<br>264     | 86       | 0.00+5 |        | 0.0045   |
| 204<br>266     | 80<br>87 | 0.0090 | -      | 0.0045   |
| 200<br>270     | 87<br>89 | 0.0045 | -      | - 0.0045 |
| 270            | 89<br>90 | 0.0045 | -      | 0.0045   |
| 272            |          |        | -      | -        |
| 274 278        | 91<br>93 | 0.0045 | 0.0128 | - 0.0045 |
|                |          | -      |        | 0.0043   |
| 282            | 95<br>00 | -      | 0.0256 | -        |
| 290            | 99       | 0.0045 | -      | -        |
| n<br>Obs Ustar |          | 111    | 39     | 112      |
| Obs.Heter.     |          | 0.946  | 0.949  | 0.929    |
| Exp.Heter      |          | 0.971  | 0.967  | 0.969    |

| P value | 0.720 | 0.369 | 0.027 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
|         |       |       |       |

| Xg-144      |         |                |                |                |
|-------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Allele Size | Repeats | West Australia | Reunion Island | East Australia |
|             |         |                |                |                |
| 154         | 9       | 0.0089         | -              | -              |
| 157         | 10      | 0.0268         | 0.0128         | 0.0174         |
| 160         | 11      | 0.4063         | 0.6538         | 0.5000         |
| 163         | 12      | 0.4196         | 0.2564         | 0.3696         |
| 166         | 13      | 0.1161         | 0.0641         | 0.0957         |
| 169         | 14      | 0.0045         | -              | 0.0087         |
| 172         | 15      | 0.0089         | 0.0128         | 0.0043         |
| 175         | 16      | 0.0089         | -              | -              |
| 178         | 17      | -              | -              | 0.0043         |
| n           |         | 112            | 39             | 115            |
| Obs.Heter.  |         | 0.536          | 0.487          | 0.522          |
| Exp.Heter   |         | 0.647          | 0.526          | 0.606          |
| P value     |         | 0.001*         | 0.711          | 0.498          |
|             |         |                |                |                |

\* Significant P values after Bonferroni correction