The chemistry of lava–seawater interactions: The generation of acidity
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Abstract—High concentrations of acid were found to arise from the interaction between molten rock and seawater at the shoreline of Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. A series of field samplings and experiments show that the acid was derived from two sources: the release of magmatic volatiles and water–rock reactions. Although the bulk of the magmatic volatiles (CO₂, H₂O, and SO₂) are vented at Puu Oo cinder cone before the lava’s transit downslope to the ocean, a portion of the sulfur (S) and fluoride (F) gasses are retained by the lava and then are released partially when the lava is quenched by seawater. The primary water–rock reaction responsible for acid formation appears to be Na-metasomatism, which is much different from the predominant acid-forming reaction found in submarine hydrothermal systems, Mg-metasomatism. Analyses of surface seawater and of precipitation (rain) deposited at the shore show that ~30% of the acid comes from magmatic gasses with the balance from reactions between the rock and the salts found in seawater. Experimental results show that ~4 ± 1.5 mEq of acid are formed per kilogram of lava entering the ocean, and of this 1 ± 0.5 mEq/kg of lava came from S and F, with the balance coming from water–rock reactions. On the basis of lava extrusion rates, ~200 – 720 × 10⁶ Eq/yr of acid are being formed at this site. The deposition of the acid results in the alteration of subaerial lava flows along the coast, and the lowering of the pH of the adjacent surface ocean waters by more than 1 unit. The ejection of this acid into the atmosphere contributes to the formation of an extensive haze downwind of the lava entries. Copyright © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd

1. INTRODUCTION

Submarine volcanism is the predominant form of volcanism on the surface of the earth (Crisp, 1984), occurring predominantly at mid-ocean ridge (MOR) spreading centers and hotspot submarine volcanoes. Although there have been extensive investigations of hydrothermal fluids created during the interaction between hot rock and seawater (e.g., Von Damm, 1990 and references therein), there have been few studies of the physical and geochemical effects of direct lava–seawater interaction. The study presented here is the most extensive investigation to date of the aqueous geochemistry of the interaction between molten rock and seawater. It was conducted at the shoreline of Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii, where lava has been entering the ocean on a fairly continuous basis since 1986. The geochemical signature observed in this setting is distinct when compared with other forms of water–rock interaction and provides insight into the fundamental reactions that take place when molten rock and seawater interact. Submarine neovolcanism is an important process due to its frequency of occurrence in both the contemporary and ancient oceans. This report is aimed at understanding the geochemical consequences of interaction between molten rock and seawater.

During this study, it was observed that large amounts of acid were created when lava and seawater made contact. Observed decreases in pH in waters near submarine volcanoes during both eruptive and noneruptive phases have been largely linked to the direct venting of magmatic gasses to the surrounding seawater (Gamo et al., 1987; Cheminée et al., 1991; Sedwick et al., 1992; Resing and Sansone, 1996). The largest influence on pH generally arises from the magmatic CO₂, and to a lesser degree from magmatic SO₂ with minor additions from HF and HCl. However, the volatiles originally present in Kilauea’s magma are generally thought to be degassed subaerially at Puu Oo cinder cone before reaching the ocean (Gerlach and Taylor, 1990). It was postulated, therefore, that the origin of the acid at the shoreline of Kilauea Volcano was from water–rock reactions, with the reaction mechanism being the instantaneous evaporation of seawater and precipitation of solid MgCl₂ followed by “dry steam reaction” to form HCl(g) and Mg(OH)₂(γ) or MgO(γ) (Gerlach et al., 1989). Additional support for the Mg-metasomatism during lava–seawater interactions was provided by Sedwick et al. (1991) who suggested that Mg-metasomatism was responsible for the generation of acid in samples collected above submarine lava flows at the shoreline of Kilauea.

The results presented here suggest that there are two distinct mechanisms for the formation of acidity when there is direct contact between molten rock and seawater: (1) the emanation of residual acidic–magmatic volatiles from the lava and (2) the generation of acidity through Na-metasomatism. These results show that magmatic S and F gasses have not been removed completely from the lava before its transit down the slopes of Kilauea and into the ocean. In addition, the predominance of Na-metasomatism over that of Mg-metasomatism is likely due to the greater abundance of Na⁺ over that of Mg²⁺ in seawater combined with the speed, pressure, and temperature of the reactions taking place.

We investigated the generation of acidity during lava–seawater interactions using both field and experimental data. The first part of the study involved collecting seawater samples and hydrographic data at the site where lava entered the ocean. The second was the collection and analysis of precipitation that was
deposited beneath the large steam plumes created when lava entered the ocean. The third was a series of experiments in which a measurable quantity of molten lava was reacted with a known volume of water.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Chemistry

The generation of acidity from seawater–rock reactions is a fairly well-documented process in both natural and experimental hydrothermal systems (e.g., Seyfried and Mottl, 1995 and references therein). The initial reaction that occurs is the removal of Mg$^{2+}$ to create Mg(OH)$_2$-silicate phases, with the release of H$^+$ to solution (e.g., Bischoff and Dickson, 1975), where the net reaction is:

$$\text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2\text{H}^+.$$  (1)

In reviewing hydrothermal chemistry, Seyfried and Mottl (1995) observe that in experimental work, as temperatures increase above 350°C and the Mg$^{2+}$ has been consumed, there is an increased tendency for exchange reactions to take place in which Na$^+$ is removed from solution to form H$^+$ (see also Mottl and Holland, 1978; Seyfried and Janecky, 1985; Shanks and Seyfried, 1987; Berndt et al., 1989). A relative decrease in Na$^+$ (when compared to Cl$^-$) has also been observed in hydrothermal fluids from several different settings (Butterfield et al., 1990; Butterfield and Massoth, 1994; Trefry et al., 1994; Von Damm et al., 1997), and in each of these systems Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations were assumed to be zero, and it was generally assumed that Mg$^{2+}$ metasomatism took place before that of Na$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$.

In addition to seawater–rock reactions, magmatic gases are rich in acidic volatiles (HF, HCl, HBr, SO$_2$, H$_2$S, and S$_2$) (Gerlach, 1993), and are the primary source of acidity in fumarolic condensates at most volcanos (e.g., Symonds et al., 1994). These magmatic gases, when dissolved in water, contribute to acidity. The S within the lava is present as SO$_2$, S$_2$(g), H$_2$S, and MeSO$_4$ compounds, where Me is a cation. These compounds generate acidity in solution as follows:

$$4\text{SO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{S} + 3\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$$  (2)
$$\text{H}_2\text{S} + 2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+$$  (3)
$$3\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow 3\text{SO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+$$  (4)
$$\text{S}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{H}^+ + 2\text{SO}_4^-$$  (5)

where each of the reduced sulfur gases produces 1 SO$_2$ and 2 H$^+$ per S. The F is present in the lava primarily as HF$_{aq}$ and MeF compounds (Symonds et al., 1994). These compounds dissociate in water as follows:

$$\text{HF} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{F}^-$$  (6)
$$\text{MeF}_n \rightarrow \text{Me}^{n+} + n\text{F}^-$$  (7)

Of Kilauea's magmatic gases, (excluding CO$_2$ and H$_2$O), the sulfur gases are the most abundant with total S emissions 40–100 times greater than those of the halogens (Greenland, 1984; Gerlach, 1993). The gaseous emissions of both HCl and HF appear to be approximately equal with ranges of HCl:HF from 0.3:1 to 3:1 (Greenland, 1984; Miller et al., 1990). HBr emissions appear to be negligible, with F:Br ranging from 200:1 to 1000:1 in magmatic gases from Kilauea (Miller et al., 1990). Although the vast bulk of Kilauea's magmatic gases are vented at Puu Oo before the transport of its lava downslope to the ocean, the lava does retain some of the volatile phases (Greenland et al., 1985; Cashman et al., 1994). Greenland et al. (1985) estimated that after the initial degassing <3% of CO$_2$, 22% of S, 90% of Cl, and 98% of F are retained by the magma. The retention of magmatic gases is also supported by the work of Cashman et al. (1994) who determined that ~10% of the S is retained in the lavas found on the flow field near the ocean entries.

2.2. Volcanism

The interaction between lava and seawater has been a common phenomenon throughout the history of the earth. In the present day ocean >80% of the global magmatism is manifest at the MORs in the deep ocean. In the geologic past, however, the largest known eruptions of lava are those referred to as large igneous provinces (LIPs), which include continental flood basalts, large oceanic platforms, and the massive outpourings of lava that took place at the advent of the formation of ocean basins (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994 and references therein). In addition to these immense eruptions of lava, many of the seamounts in the Pacific Ocean are thought to have erupted from 120 to 50 Ma near the surface of the ocean and subsequently, have subsided deep beneath the sea surface.

Oceanic plateaus are the largest of the LIPs and there is considerable evidence that most of these plateaus were produced from submarine volcanic activity, with much of it taking place at or near the surface of the ocean. For example, the emplacement of the Manihiki Plateau (66 Ma) was interpreted to have taken place by volcanic activity at or near sea surface (Schlanger et al., 1981; Beirsdorf et al., 1995) as suggested by the 1150 km$^3$ volcaniclastic layer extending over an area of 5000 km$^2$ on the eastern part of the plateau (Beirsdorf et al., 1995). Similarly the Kerguelen Plateau currently has two active subaerial volcanos and it is believed that much of the plateau was emplaced near the surface ocean (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994). The largest of the oceanic plateaus, the Ontong-Java Plateau is thought to have been emplaced in water depths estimated to be between 1000 and 3000 m. However, the presence of volcaniclastic sediments suggests that near-surface ocean volcanism was taking place at seamounts on the plateau at the time of its emplacement (Tarduno et al., 1991).

The opening of ocean basins are associated with massive outpourings of lava, both as continental flood basalts and as ocean basin based eruptions (White, 1989; White and McKenzie, 1989; Eldholm and Thomas, 1993; Holbrook and Kelemen, 1993). White and McKenzie (1989) showed that most of the known episodes of continental rifting resulted in volcanism and this volcanism took place near sea level and subsequently subsided beneath the sea surface. The opening of the South Atlantic created massive volcanism that is responsible for the formation of the Paraná and Etendeka flood basalt provinces in South America and Central Africa, respectively. As the continents spread, the associated volcanism was responsible for the
3. GEOGRAPHIC SETTING

The Puu Oo eruption of Kilauea Volcano on the southeast coast of the island of Hawaii is responsible for the shoreline and near-shore lava flows that enter the ocean at this site. Magma injected from the mantle forms a magma chamber beneath Kilauea Caldera; this magma moves down Kilauea’s east rift zone, where it initially reaches the earth’s surface at Puu Oo cone. The bulk of the magmatic gases and other volatiles are thought to be vented to the atmosphere at Puu Oo and to a lesser extent at Kilauea Caldera (Gerlach and Taylor, 1990).

Upon reaching the surface at Puu Oo, the lava is transported down slope both as surface flows and through subsurface lava tubes, with the latter being the primary mechanism for the transport of lava to low lying regions and to the ocean (Mattax et al., 1993; Peterson et al., 1994). Some lava tubes are thought to continue beneath the ocean surface to feed at least some of the underwater flows observed farther offshore (Peterson, 1976; Tribble, 1991). The eruption producing these flows began on January 3, 1983, and extruded lava at an average rate in excess of $3 \times 10^8$ m$^3$/d over the period 1983–1991 (Wolfe et al., 1987; Heliker and Wright, 1991; Mattax et al., 1993). During mid-1989, the average lava extrusion rate from Kilauea Volcano was 2.5 to $3.0 \times 10^5$ m$^3$/d, with ~20 to 30% of the lava entering the ocean at one or more locations (Kelly et al., 1989). Also, from April to October, 1991, during a period of declining flow, $\sim 1.8 \times 10^5$ m$^3$/d of lava was being erupted (Kauahikaua et al., 1996) and ~36% of the lava was estimated by Harris et al. (1998) to reach the ocean whereas Kauahikaua et al. (1996) estimated that closer to 100% entered the ocean.

The lava temperatures measured for the current eruption range from 1130°C (Helz et al., 1995) to 1150°C (Cashman et al., 1994). The vesicularity of lavas from surface outbursts has been found to range from 50–88% (Cashman et al., 1994).

The entry of lava into the ocean is often a violent process as molten lava is rapidly quenched and fractured by the relatively cool seawater. In turn, the lava heats the seawater creating a large pool of warm water with very distinctive hydrographic features (see Sansone and Resing, 1995; Resing, 1997). Large steam plumes are created where the lava enters the ocean by the rapid heating and vaporization of seawater from the quenching and cooling of molten lava. These vigorous steam plumes are centered at the flow front and their bases are as wide as the flow front. As these plumes rise they expand laterally and disperse downwind. During normal trade wind conditions these plumes are pushed along and over the coastal area creating hazy conditions as they disperse. A portion of this steam immediately recondenses and falls as highly saline and acidic precipitation. As this precipitation dries, it creates a whitish halo on the subaerial flow field centered near the ocean entry. The white color arises from both the evaporation of the saline precipitation and alteration of the basalt by acidity in these fluids. The processes responsible for the formation of the precipitation include the condensation of vapor within the vapor-saturated plume, the coagulation of small droplets onto glassy particles and other salt-rich droplets, and spatter from the intense physical interaction of lava and seawater. The precipitation falls most heavily within 20 to 30 m of where the lava enters the ocean, often falling as intense but sporadic downpours.
and pH were washed with soap and water and rinsed three times with >17 MΩ deionized water (DIW). Precipitation from the steam plume was collected on acid-washed polyethylene sheets, which were 0.5 mm thick. These sheets were soaked in 10% HCl for 10 h and then rinsed with DIW, and allowed to dry. All acid washing was carried out in class-100 clean conditions.

4.1.1. Surface ocean

Ocean-based sampling was undertaken from a 24-ft fishing boat. Samples discussed here were collected on four different dates: March 20, 1990, September 23 and 24, 1990, and March 1, 1991. Specific sampling locations and site details are described by Sansone and Resing (1995). Samples were taken using a polyethylene bottle placed at the end of a 1.5 m acrylic “pole sampler.” Immediately upon collection samples were filtered and subsamples were taken for trace element analysis, nutrients, and alkalinity. Unfiltered samples were collected. Water temperature was measured using a Teflon-coated K-type junction thermocouple and lava temperatures were measured using a chrome-alumel K-type junction thermocouple. The different experiments are discussed below. In general we observed two broadly classified lava flow types: fluid and fast moving, and pahoehoe.

Table 1. Summary of experiments performed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Mass of lava (kg)</th>
<th>Water volume (L)</th>
<th>Mass/volume (kg/L)</th>
<th>$T_0$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_f$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta T$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 10, 1995, SW Day 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>101*</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 11, 1995, SW Day 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 15, 1995, August DIW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>807</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>810</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>809</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100*</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 12, 1995, Acid experiments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>MgCl₂–NaCl</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>101*</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>MgSO₄–NaCl</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>Na₂SO₄–NaCl</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 13, 1995, October DIW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The water in these experiments boiled. $T_0$ = initial temperature; $T_f$ = the final temperature; $\Delta T = T_f - T_0$; SW = seawater; DIW = Deionized water.

4.1.2. Precipitation

Samples of precipitation were collected on three occasions, February 28, 1991, January 31, 1995, and March 11, 1995, by placing acid-washed plastic sheets below the steam plume within 10 to 20 m of the lava entry. Precipitation was significant enough at times to collect >17 MΩ DIW was used. Subsampling and filtration were conducted in a similar manner as that for the ocean samples. Water temperature was measured using a Teflon-coated K-type junction thermocouple and lava temperatures were measured using a chrome-alumel K-type junction thermocouple. The different experiments are discussed below.
and viscous and slow moving. Lava was collected from the flow front using either the crook end of a crow bar or a large stamped metal spoon.

4.1.3.1. March 10, 1995 (SW—day 1). Seven experiments were conducted on an active part of the flow field that formed a broad front 200 m across. In these experiments varying amounts of molten lava as single blobs were added to a constant volume of seawater (see Table 1). The solutions were filtered within 40 to 120 min of the completion of each experiment. The lava was very fluid and fast moving on this day.

4.1.3.2. March 11, 1995 (SW—day 2). Four experiments were conducted near those of March 10 to test the effects of varying lava surface area on the extent of reaction. In each of these experiments multiple blobs of molten lava were added to a constant volume of seawater using the crook end of a crow bar to collect the lava. Samples were filtered within 40 to 120 min of the completion of each experiment. The lava on this day was viscous and slow moving.

4.1.3.3. August 15, 1995 (August DIW). Six experiments were conducted at a flow field that was 200 m across with multiple flow fronts at ~150 m above sea level. The lava at this site was very fluid and fast moving. Multiple blobs of molten lava were collected using the crook end of a crow bar and placed into a fixed volume of DIW. Samples were filtered within 10 to 30 min of the completion of each experiment.

4.1.3.4. October 12, 1995 (acid experiments). Six experiments were conducted to examine the effects of different salt solutions on the formation of acidity. The compositions of the solutions are shown in Table 2. NaCl was added so that each of the solutions had the same

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>[Cl(^-)] (mmol/L)</th>
<th>[Na(^+)] (mmol/L)</th>
<th>[Mg(^{2+})] (mmol/L)</th>
<th>[SO(_4^{2-})] (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Carbonate alkalinity (mEq/L)</th>
<th>Decrease in alkalinity (mEq/L)</th>
<th>Acidity per amount of lava (mEq/kg)</th>
<th>ΔT (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001 NaCl</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002 MgCl(_2)-NaCl*</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003 MgSO(_4)-NaCl</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004 DIW</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005 Na(_2)SO(_4)-NaCl</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006 Seawater</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The water in this experiment boiled for several minutes.
† Decrease in alkalinity divided by lava concentration from Table 1.

Fig. 1. pH offshore of Wahaula where lava entered the ocean on March 1, 1991 (See location map, Sansone and Resing 1995). Filled circles represent sampling locations and arrows show locations of the lava entries on this date.
ionic strength as well as the same amount of [Cl]. To each of these solutions NaHCO₃ was added. The NaHCO₃, when dissolved, adds a known alkalinity to the samples, which then gets titrated by acid added-generated in the experiment. By measuring the alkalinity before and after the experiment the exact amount of acid generated can be established. Samples were filtered within 10 to 30 min of the completion of each experiment. The lava on this day was viscous and slow moving.

4.1.3.5. October 13, 1995 (October DIW). Five experiments were conducted with lavas from a broad flow front &gt;100 m across. Single blobs of lava were added to known volumes of DIW. The lava at this site was fluid and fast moving. Samples were filtered within 10 to 30 min of the completion of each experiment.

4.2. Analytical

For the DIW experiments, Na⁺, Fe³⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Sr²⁺ were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and Al³⁺ was determined by flow injection analysis (Resing and Measures, 1994). Na⁺, Fe³⁺, Sr²⁺, and Al³⁺ in the precipitation samples were also determined by ICP-AES. Potassium in samples of precipitation and from the DIW experiments was determined by flame AES. Total alkaline earth concentrations (±0.05%) for the surface ocean seawater and precipitation samples were determined by titration (e.g., Geiskes and Peretsman, 1986) with automated spectrophotometric end point detection. Mg²⁺ (±0.1%) was calculated as the local alkaline earth concentration less Ca²⁺ and Sr²⁺. Ca²⁺ (±0.1%) for surface ocean samples and precipitation samples was determined by titration with automated end point detection using a Ca²⁺ electrode (Whitefield et al., 1969).

Chlorinity (±0.05%) in the surface ocean and precipitation samples was determined by titration with 0.1 mol L AgNO₃. Chloride (±10%) was determined in the DIW experiments by ion chromatography (IC). Sulfate (±1%) for all samples was determined using IC. Fluoride (±3%) for all samples was determined using a fluoride-sensitive electrode (Warner, 1971; Froelich et al., 1983).

pH was measured by using a combination pH electrode. Because of CO₂ degassing from the samples, pH determinations for surface ocean samples were generally made as soon as possible after collection. pH for the DIW experiments was measured in the laboratory by adding KCl or NaCl to the samples as an ionic strength adjustor; H⁺ activity was determined using pH and was converted to [H⁺] using the Davies equation (Langmuir, 1997). Alkalinity was determined by titration by a modification of the method of Edmond (1970). The acidity of the precipitation samples was measured by pipetting a known volume of sample into a seawater sample of known alkalinity, followed immediately by the alkalinity titration discussed above. Acidity was the difference between the known alkalinity of the seawater and the subsequent alkalinity after the addition of the precipitation sample. Total dissolved inorganic carbon (ΣCO₂) was measured (±1.0%) by gas chromatography (Weiss and Craig, 1973).

5. RESULTS

5.1. Sea Surface

Where the lava flows into the ocean at the shoreline of Kilauea Volcano there is a large pool of heated water (see Sansone and Resing, 1995) with pH values below that of the surrounding seawater (Fig. 1). In addition to the decrease in pH, there are decreases in alkalinity and ΣCO₂, and all three decreases correlate well with water temperature (Fig. 2). The decrease in pH, together with the decrease in alkalinity, show that there was an addition of acid to the seawater at the lava entry and not an addition of magmatic CO₂ as seen at other submarine eruptions (e.g., Chemineé et al., 1991; Duennheber et al., 1997). The decrease in ΣCO₂ suggests that the carbonate alkalinity in the local seawater is titrated by the acid and is converted to CO₂, and this CO₂ then must escape to the atmosphere.

![Figure 2](image-url)

Fig. 2. (A) pH, (B) alkalinity, and (C) ΣCO₂ versus change in temperature (relative to local seawater) of seawater collected offshore of the lava entries (for locations, see Sansone and Resing, 1995).

5.2. Precipitation

The most striking features of the precipitation samples are their elevated acidities and chlorinities. The samples ranged in pH from 1.1 to 2.1 and chlorinities ranged from 1.7 to 3.7 times the chlorinity of the local seawater (Table 3). The acidity in the precipitation samples was great enough to make H⁺ a major cation in the solution. The major ions in the precipitation samples are accounted for by H⁺, Na⁺, Cl⁻, Mg²⁺, SO₄²⁻, Ca²⁺, K⁺, Fe³⁺, Al³⁺, and Sr²⁺. The elemental enrichments increase in proportion to Cl⁻ content, as shown for Na⁺, Mg²⁺, SO₄²⁻, and Ca²⁺ in Figure 3, where the lines are the ratios of the given elements to [Cl⁻] in local seawater. As can be seen, the concentrations of these elements are of a similar ratio to Cl⁻ in the precipitation as they are in the local seawater. In Table 4 the ratios of the different elements to Cl⁻ are shown along with the ratio ordinarily found in seawater. The ratios in the precipitation samples show depletions in Sr²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺ and enrichments in Li⁺, SO₄²⁻, F⁻, Al³⁺, and Fe³⁺. In addition, HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ are completely absent at the pH values of these solutions. A charge balance of the major ions shows that no other major ions are unaccounted for in solution (Table 3). Samples 91-6 and 91-7 show a larger
imbalance than the other samples. We suspect that this may arise from the fact that Na$^+$ was measured 4 years after Cl$^-$, and evaporation probably resulted in elevated Na$^+$ concentrations in these samples.

In general, the ratios of the major ions to Cl$^-$ are similar to those in seawater, suggesting that seawater is the primary source of these ions. Therefore, these fluids primarily reflect the evaporation of water from seawater, spatter from phreatic explosions, or the condensation of vapor and its aggregation onto glassy and salty particles and droplets. In contrast, the source of the F$^-$, Fe$^{3+}$, Al$^{3+}$, and some of the S must be the lava.

To account for all of the acid produced in forming the precipitation samples, we must account for the measured H$^+$ ion concentration, the acid used to titrate the alkalinity of the seawater, and the acid used to liberate cations from the basalt into the water. This can be calculated as follows:

$$\Sigma H^+ = [H^+] + (\text{alkalinity} \times (\text{Cl}_p/\text{Cl}_{sw})) + (3\text{Fe}^{3+}) + (3\text{Al}^{3+})$$

where the term \(\text{alkalinity}_{sw} \times (\text{Cl}_p/\text{Cl}_{sw})\) is an estimate of the alkalinity that would be associated with seawater of higher chlorinities.

### Table 3. Major elemental components of precipitation samples and local seawater (in mmol/kg except for pH).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cations</th>
<th>91-6</th>
<th>91-7</th>
<th>95-16</th>
<th>95-1</th>
<th>95-2</th>
<th>95-3</th>
<th>SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>8.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma H^+$</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>1409</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$ (Ch. Bal.$^+$)</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>1422</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Diff$^+$</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>184.1</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>139.8</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$^{3+}$</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Ratios of major elements to Cl$^-$ for precipitation samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average (X:Cl$^-$) of six samples</th>
<th>R$^2*$</th>
<th>(X:Cl$^-$) of seawater</th>
<th>% of seawater$^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr $^{101}$</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.09 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.67 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K $^{103}$</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.07 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.87 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg $^{104}$</td>
<td>9.60 ± 0.09 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9.75 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na $^{105}$</td>
<td>8.43 ± 0.24 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8.58 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca $^{106}$</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.10 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.88 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li $^{107}$</td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.15 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.63 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S $^{108}$</td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.19 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>5.17 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F $^{109}$</td>
<td>9.81 ± 3.80 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.22 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al $^{110}$</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.25 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>9.26 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe $^{111}$</td>
<td>6.59 ± 1.54 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.85 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $R^2$ is the correlation coefficient of each element regressed against Cl$^-$.  
† [Average (X:Cl$^-$/[Seawater(X:Cl$^-$/]) × 100%.

---

Fig. 3. Major elements in precipitation samples versus Cl$^-$. The lines represent the element:Cl$^-$ ratio found in seawater.
5.3. Experiments

In each of the experiments conducted here, the addition of lava to the water caused an immediate and intense reaction in which the surface of the lava was coated with many small (~2–5 mm) steam bubbles, whereas larger steam bubbles (~5 to ~30 mm) were emitted at or generated from cracks and holes on the surface. The morphology of these samples resembled that of the “floating rocks” found in the surface ocean (Sansone and Resing, 1995; Resing, 1997), although the samples had vesicles (<1 to ~20 mm), which were somewhat smaller than those found in the floating rocks. During the reaction, the vesicles filled with steam and the lava often floated until its temperature equilibrated with that of the water, at which point the vesicles filled with water and the lava sank to the bottom of the sample container. The quenched glass was shiny and, despite its friable nature, stayed together as a single piece. The bottom of the experimental containers were covered with translucent golden-brown glass that closely resembled the glass found suspended in the surface oceans (Resing, 1997). Although small opaque pieces of the lava were also present in the containers, there was no apparent formation of black sand (black sand is uniformly sized shattered glass found as beaches and as a part of underwater talus deposits near the ocean entries).

In each of the experiments performed, water temperature increased in direct proportion to the amount of lava added (Fig. 4). Elemental enrichments were also proportional to the amount of lava added as shown in Figures 5 and 6 for the major elements in solution in the DIW experiments. In addition, enrichments that correlated with temperature were observed in both the seawater and DIW experiments for many trace elements (Resing, 1997; J. A. Resing and F. J. Sansone, in prep.). In all of the experiments acid (H$^+$) was generated when lava was placed in contact with water. The acidity generated in the seawater experiments (as reflected in the decrease in alkalinity), when plotted versus the change in water temperature, closely matches that observed in the surface ocean (Fig. 7). The amount of acid generated in the DIW experiments is proportional to the change in temperature of the water and to the mass of lava added (Fig. 8A), although the acidity generated in DIW experiments was considerably less than that in the seawater. In the DIW experiments H$^+$ is the major cation in solution (Table 5), and the anions are almost entirely accounted for by SO$_4^{2-}$ and F$^-$, with a small contribution from Cl$^-$. In one DIW experiment the water came to a rapid boil, which lasted several minutes. In this experiment [H$^+$] was much lower than expected (Fig. 8A) but, because the total cations released to solution versus lava/water show a continuous increase (Fig. 8B), this depletion must reflect the exchange of H$^+$ for other cations during reaction with the basalt.

In the experiments designed to identify the water–lava reactions responsible for the generation of acidity, it was observed that the solutions containing both Mg$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ did not generate acidity in excess of those solutions containing Na$^+$ but no Mg$^{2+}$ (see Table 2). In each of the experiments where Na$^+$ was present at its seawater concentration, acidity was

---

**Fig. 4.** The change in water temperature versus amount of lava added (kg/L). The letter “b” indicates a sample that boiled. The line is a least squares linear regression through points not labeled with b (slope = 221°C/(kg/L), $R^2 = 0.94$).

**Fig. 5.** Major ion elemental enrichments for Na$^+$ (A), Fe$^{3+}$ (B), Mg$^{2+}$ (C), and Ca$^{2+}$ (D), versus lava water ratios in DIW experiments. The error bars represents the analytical precision of the measurements where error is greater than symbol size.
generated at levels similar to that observed for seawater. These experiments suggest that Na-metasomatism as opposed to Mg-metasomatism is the primary water–lava reaction responsible for the generation of acidity during lava–water contact.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. General

An evaluation of the results indicates that the generation of acidity during lava–seawater contact arises from two sources, magmatic volatiles and water–rock reactions. The data suggest that the primary magmatic volatile is S and the primary water–rock reaction is Na-metasomatism.

In the arguments presented below, increases in acidity from magmatic S and F increase the concentrations in $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{F}^-$ found in the aqueous phases discussed. We assume that excess magmatic S as $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{H}_2\text{S}$, and $\text{S}_2$ produces $\text{2H}^+$ (Eqns. 2–5) and excess F$^-$ as HF produces $\text{1H}^+$ (Eqn. 6). As shown for Mg$^{2+}$ in Eqn. 2, acidity generated from seawater–lava reactions removes Na$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, and/or Mg$^{2+}$ from the aqueous phase to the solid phase, with decreases in the concentrations of Na$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$ resulting in the production of $\text{1H}^+$, $\text{2H}^+$, and $\text{2H}^+$, respectively. Thus, to identify the sources of acid, we examine the changes in the concentrations of these major ions in our samples.

6.2. Surface Seawater

Identifying the sources of acid in the surface ocean seawater is difficult because the water altered during the interaction with the lava is diluted by ambient seawater before being sampled. As a result, observing the addition of acid as either a depletion in one of the major cations or as an increase in a major anion is obscured by the high concentrations of dissolved salts in seawater. The maximum observed decrease in alkalinity in the surface ocean samples was $\sim 1 \text{ mEq}$ (Fig. 2B). Figures 9A and 9B show that $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ and $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]$ increase (as opposed to a decrease) in concentration as a function of temperature for the March 1, 1991, samples, suggesting that neither is responsible for acid formation. When normalized to chloride
or salinity, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations are seen to be nearly constant relative to the ambient seawater (Fig. 9). The increases in Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, therefore, reflect water vapor loss during steam formation. If, instead, the acid came from the uptake of Na$^+$ by the basalt, the 1 mmol/L loss in Na$^+$ from a solution originally containing 460 mmol/L Na$^+$ would represent a 0.2% loss. This loss would be imperceptible given the 1.5% precision of the method of determination for Na$^+$.

Measurements of sulfate in the surface ocean waters showed no discernable increase. If all the acidity resulted from the addition of magmatic S, then we would expect a 1 mmol/L increase in SO$_4^{2-}$ versus a background concentration of ~28 mmol/L; this would be a 3.6% increase, which would be barely resolvable versus the 1% precision of determination. In contrast, an enrichment in F$^-$ is clearly discernable in the surface ocean and correlates well with water temperature (Fig. 9C). The largest addition of F is 2.7 mmol/L Cl$^-$ and would be indistinguishable from seawater Cl$^-$, which if added as HF, represents <3% of the total acid added to these solutions. If we assume that the altered waters in the surface ocean had a similar ratio of S:F delivered to them as in the DIW experiments (Table 5), we can then make an estimate of the contribution of S gasses to the surface ocean. The average S:F ratio in the nine DIW experiments was 10.4 ± 1.7:1 (Table 5); therefore $\Delta$SO$_4^{2-} = \Delta F^- \times 10.4$, yielding a maximal $\Delta$SO$_4^{2-} \approx 310$ mmol/L, which is an ~1% increase over background SO$_4^{2-}$, an increase that would be indistinguishable from the background seawater for our method of detection.

By combining the known $\Delta F^-$ with the calculated $\Delta$SO$_4^{2-}$ we can estimate the contribution that magmatic gasses make to the total acid added to the surface ocean. We calculate this value for March 1, 1991, using samples with $\Delta F^- > 1$ mmol/L (Fig. 9C), and estimate that magmatic gasses are responsible for $32 \pm 6\%$ of the acid added to the surface ocean on this date.

The lava–seawater contact causes a large decrease in pH, alkalinity, and $\Sigma$CO$_2$ in the surface ocean. The F$^-$ enrichment shows that some of the acid comes from acidic magmatic gasses. The invariant Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations suggest that the acidity must not have been generated to any great extent from Ca- or Mg-metasomatism. These results suggest that there must be another process responsible for the balance of the acid generation.

### 6.3. The Precipitation Sample

The precipitation samples had a greater amount of acid added to them than did the surface seawater. This results in H$^+$ being a major ion in these solutions, with concentrations ranging from 8 to 62 mmol/L (Table 3). To assess the relative enrichments and depletions for the different elements in the precipitation, we normalize their concentrations using Cl$^-$ as an appropriate for normalization because it can be determined very accurately and is believed conservative in this setting.

Data on gasses from Kilauea show that Cl$^-$ and F$^-$ concentrations are similar in the magmatic gasses at the summit of Kilauea (Greenland, 1984; Miller et al., 1990). In addition, basalts from Kilauea have [F] $\approx 8 \times$ [Cl] (Govindaraju, 1989). The most F$^-$-rich precipitation sample was 2.71 mmol/L F$^-$ and in the DIW experiments [Cl$^-] \ll$ [F$^-]$ (Table 5). This suggests that the lava must contribute $\ll 2.7$ mmol/L Cl$^-$ to the precipitation. If F and Cl flux from the lava were equal, then the 2.7 mmol/L of magmatic Cl$^-$ would only represent $<0.14\%$ of the $\Sigma$Cl$^-$ and would be indistinguishable from seawater Cl$^-$ using our methods of determination. Using $[\text{Cl}^-]$ of the precipitation and the ratio of the ions in seawater to $[\text{Cl}^-]$ in seawater we can estimate the contributions of the major elements in the precipitation that came from seawater $[X_{SW}]$. The difference between the measured concentration of an element, $[X_p]$, and $[X_{SW}]$ is the amount by which the precipitation has

### Table 5. Concentrations of major elements in the DIW experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cations</th>
<th>802</th>
<th>807</th>
<th>809</th>
<th>810</th>
<th>814</th>
<th>1007*</th>
<th>1008</th>
<th>1009</th>
<th>1010</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$^{3+}$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anions</th>
<th>802</th>
<th>807</th>
<th>809</th>
<th>810</th>
<th>814</th>
<th>1007*</th>
<th>1008</th>
<th>1009</th>
<th>1010</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^-$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F$^-$</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S:F$^0$</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge balance</th>
<th>802</th>
<th>807</th>
<th>809</th>
<th>810</th>
<th>814</th>
<th>1007*</th>
<th>1008</th>
<th>1009</th>
<th>1010</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma Z^+$</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma Z^-$</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % Diff$^g$ | 14% | 9% | 10% | 12% | -7% | -30% | -3%  | 7%  | -25% |

* Contaminated with SW.
† H$^+/Z^+ \times 100%$.
‡ SO$_4^{2-}/F^-$.
§ ($\Sigma Z^+ - \Sigma Z^-)/\Sigma Z^+ \times 2 \times 100%$.
— data not available.
been altered by some process other than evaporation and will be called the excess of element X or \([X_{\text{ex}}]\):

\[
[X_{\text{ex}}] = [X_s] - [X_{\text{sw}}]
\] (9)

In these calculations, depletions in X are negative and enrichments are positive. Enrichments are observed for S and F while depletions are observed for many of the cations. Converting these depletions and enrichments into contributions to acidity (Table 6) shows that enrichments in SO\(_4^{2-}\) and F\(^-\) from magmatic gasses contribute 34 ± 20% of the acidity present in the samples. The contribution to acidity from Mg-metasomatism is 6 ± 4% and that from Ca\(^{2+}\) and K\(^+\) is relatively small. The data show that the bulk of the acid was created by Na-metasomatism (56 ± 9%).

### 6.4. Experiments

The amount of acid generated in the seawater experiments is greater than that in the DIW experiments, with 4.0 ± 1.5 mEq of acid generated per kilogram of lava added to the seawater experiments and 1.0 ± 0.5 mEq of acid generated per kilogram of lava added to the DIW experiments (Table 7). These results clearly implicate two different sources of acidity. The first is independent of the initial composition of the water and implicates the lava as its sole source. SO\(_4^{2-}\) and F\(^-\) correlate well with the concentration of lava in the experiments (Fig. 6) and are the two major anions found in the DIW experiments (Table 5), suggesting that the S- and F-rich magmatic gasses escaped the molten lava into solution. The second mechanism indicates that the components present in seawater must react with the lava to generate acidity. In Table 2 we see that, where NaCl is present, acid is generated in great excess to that expected solely from S and F gasses. In the three experiments where Mg\(^{2+}\) was present at seawater concentrations, acidity generated was 5.7, 2.3, and 2.2 mEq per kilogram of lava. In the experiment where 5.7 mEq of acid was created, the water in the experiment boiled vigorously for ~3 min. The formation of “extra” acid might have been the result of increased water and steam circulation through the lava, or it may have been associated with the increased reaction time at the temperature of reaction. Nonetheless, acid generation in the NaCl solutions is equal to that generated in solutions containing Mg\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\) at seawater levels. Therefore, these data show that Mg\(^{2+}\) does not appear to enhance the formation of acid and Na\(^+\) is the most important cation being hydrolyzed.

The division between the two sources of acid can be evaluated most directly from the NaCl and MgCl\(_2\) experiments,

### Table 6. Quantification of acid sources from “excess” values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magmatic gas generated</th>
<th>Water–rock generated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[F(^-)](_{\text{ex}}) × 1</td>
<td>[SO(<em>4^{2-})](</em>{\text{ex}}) × 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-7</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-16</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-1</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-2</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-3</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\Sigma H^+_r = 2 \times [SO\(_4^{2-}\)]_{\text{ex}} + [F\(^-\)]_{\text{ex}}\),

\(\Sigma H^+_{\text{water}} = −2 \times [Mg\(^{2+}\)]_{\text{ex}} − 2 \times [Ca\(^{2+}\)]_{\text{ex}} − [K\(^+\)]_{\text{ex}} − [Na\(^+\)]_{\text{ex}}\),

\(\Sigma H^+_r = \Sigma H^+_{\text{water}} + \Sigma H^+_w\),

\(\Sigma H^+ = [H^+] + (\text{alkalinity} \times (Cl_p/Cl_{sw})) + (3\text{Fe}^{3+}) + (3\text{Al}^{3+})\) (Eqn. 2).

\(\Sigma H^+_w\) and \(\Sigma H^+_{\text{water}}\) are total contributions to acidity from gasses and water–rock reactions, respectively.
which initially contained Na\(^+\) and/or Mg\(^{2+}\) at seawater levels but contained no SO\(_4^{2-}\) (Table 2). Upon completion, the SO\(_4^{2-}\) in these experiments was 0.093 mmol/L (0.186 mEq H\(^+\)) for the NaCl experiment and 0.318 mmol L for the MgCl\(_2\) experiment (0.636 mEq H\(^+\)). This represents 40% and 32% of the acidity generated for these two experiments, respectively. Furthermore, if it is assumed that S present in the DIW experiments is representative of that present in the seawater experiments, then this S represents 14 to 40% of the total acid created when lava comes in contact with seawater. When F\(^-\) is included, 16 to 45% (average 25%) of the acid comes from magmatic gas with the remainder coming from water–rock reactions.

### 6.5. Magmatic Volatiles

The concentrations of S and F that remain in the lava after its initial degassing are approximately the same. However, the ratio of S:F found in the water in the DIW experiments (10.4 ± 1.8) demonstrates that S is more easily degassed than F. The amount of S found in the DIW experiments represents ~10 to 38% of the residual S (Cashman et al., 1994) in the lava, whereas the amount of F is <0.5% of the residual F (Greenland et al., 1985) in the lava. The lava sampling technique used by Cashman et al. (1994) was to quench molten lava samples in water (K. V. Cashman, pers. comm.), suggesting that they may have underestimated their S concentrations by 10 to 38%.

The results presented here show that S and F were responsible for ~30% of the acidity generated where degassed lava enters the ocean at Kilauea Volcano. When erupted beneath the sea at higher pressures, the lava retains most of its volatiles (Meunow et al., 1979; Wallace and Carmichael, 1992) and acidity from this source during eruption decreases in importance. As the eruptions become shallower the gasses are more likely to be released. The gasses can separate from the magma either in the magma chamber before eruption or can separate from the magma as it ascends and erupts. In addition, we have shown here that upon contact with seawater a portion of the magmatic gasses that is dissolved in the lava can be released. As mentioned above, the lava placed into water in the experiments stayed together as a single blob with many large internal vesicles. This implies that violent phreatomagmatic eruptions that create fine hyaloclastic materials are not required to release a significant portion of the residual volatiles from the basalt. Although, the accumulation of S on the rims of pillow basalts at depths of >4000 m at Kilauea (Meunow et al., 1979) suggests that there is a mechanism by which the S migrates out of the basalt as it freezes, and thus it should be expected that the greater the amount of glass exposed to seawater through violent phreatomagmatic eruptions, the greater the release of gasses. The more explosive eruptions are thought to occur in maximum water depths of 1 km, and more frequently at depths <500 m (Kokelar, 1986; Cas, 1992). However, observations made at other submarine eruptions at greater depths and pressures show that the magmatic volatile CO\(_2\) escapes from magmas during submarine eruptions (Cheminié et al., 1991; Duennebier et al., 1997). Although the higher pressures present at submarine eruptions may make partitioning of S, F, and Cl into the solid phase more likely, the CO\(_2\) may act as a carrier phase for these elements (Gerlach, 1993) and thus the release of CO\(_2\) may promote the release of S, F, and Cl, along with other volatile elements.

### 6.6. Water–Rock Reactions

As discussed above, the predominant acid-forming reaction in oceanic hydrothermal systems is Mg-metasomatism. Mg-metasomatism was also proposed for lava–seawater contact by Gerlach et al. (1989), who suggested the mechanism was a dry steam reaction in which Mg(OH)\(_2\) was formed and HCl escaped into the gas phase. Ca-hydrolysis reactions similar to that proposed by Gerlach et al. (1989) have been shown to take place in solutions of CaCl\(_2\) in which boiling takes place at temperature and pressure ranges of 380°C and ≤230 bars to 500°C and ≤580 bars (Bischoff et al., 1996). Our results demonstrate, however, that Na-metasomatism is most responsible for the acid released on the water. The small amount of Na-rich solid material that was created here makes identification of a specific sodic phase difficult, and therefore, we are left to speculate as to its composition and the mechanism by which Na\(^+\) uptake is achieved.

The reactions at the lava–seawater interface observed here took place at 1 bar pressure and an unknown temperature, suggesting that the phases present might include liquid and solid basalt, liquid and vaporous water, and aqueous-, solid-, and liquid-phase NaCl. The generation of HCl\(_{\text{g}}\) through the hydrolysis of Na\(^+\) when NaCl is in contact with water vapor at high temperatures (>450°C) and low pressures is a well-described phenomenon (Hanf and Sole, 1970; Galobardes et al., 1981; Armellini and Tester, 1993; Fournier and Thompson, 1993). The reaction was shown to be:

$$\text{NaCl}_{\text{(s,l)}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{(g)}} \rightarrow \text{NaOH}_{\text{(s)}} + \text{HCl}_{\text{(g)}}$$

(10)

where the HCl\(_{\text{g}}\) escapes due to its preference for the gas phase. However, in systems where the HCl is allowed to condense and come in contact with the NaOH, the reaction reverses itself and goes unnoticed. The addition of SiO\(_2\) to this reaction system markedly increases the yield of HCl due to the formation of a Na–silicate phase (Clewes and Thompson, 1922; Fournier and Thompson, 1993):

$$\text{2NaCl}_{\text{(s,l)}} + 2\text{SiO}_2{\text{(s)}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{(g)}} \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5{\text{(s)}} + 2\text{HCl}_{\text{(g)}}$$

(11)

Na–silicate phases like the one produced in Eqn. 11 show retrograde solubility (Rowe et al., 1967) and, although relatively insoluble above 300°C, are completely soluble below 100°C (Weast, 1970). The observations made here suggest a final product that is not readily soluble in water. If the reaction product was soluble, its dissolution would generate a basic solution. In the experiments this base would have neutralized the acid. Where the lava flows into the ocean, the HCl would be partitions into the gas phase, leaving the resultant base in contact with the surface ocean, raising the pH of this water. Because we observed a decrease in pH in the surface ocean and in the experiments, the reaction product must not be readily soluble, suggesting that the lava–seawater reactions produce neither NaOH nor a simple Na–silicate. Instead, the final product created during this reaction must be largely insoluble.

Clewes and Thompson (1922) point out that the generation of HCl at high temperatures was much more vigorous in the
presence of an aluminosilicate phase. Fournier and Thompson (1993) suggest that in nature Na-silicate is not likely to form but that “it is likely that salt and quartz would react with various aluminum-rich silicates, forming alkali feldspars and other common hydrothermal products.” Consider the following general reaction:

$$6\text{SiO}_2(aq) + 12\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(aq) + 2\text{NaCl}(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) \rightarrow 2\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8 + 2\text{HCl}(g) + 2\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$$ (12)

where SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ are components of the basaltic glass. In this case, albite is formed, but this does not preclude the formation of Na-rich smectites or other feldspars. Although the other cations participate in this reaction, Na$^+$ may be used preferentially over Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ due to its 9- and 45-fold enrichment over the latter elements in seawater. The ratio of acid formed from Na$^+$ to that formed by Mg$^{2+}$ is 6.0 ± 1.7, which is close to the ratio of Na:Mg found in seawater (8.8).

Another possible reaction mechanism is the high-temperature hydrolysis of the glass substrate (e.g., Rimstidt and Barnes, 1980) followed by the exchange of seawater cations with hydrogen ions at the silicate surface. The dissolution of Si from the glass surface occurs through the complete hydrolysis of SiO$_2$ to form Si(OH)$_4$(aq). Incomplete hydrolysis of the SiO$_2$, however, may leave the Si at the glass surface partially hydrolyzed [e.g., SiO(OH)$_2$]. At this stage, H$^+$ could exchange for cations Si and Al observed in the precipitation samples and the relatively short contact time between the seawater and the lava indicates that the silicate hydrolysis must have happened very quickly. It has been noted that the speed of silicate hydrolysis is proportional to temperature (Rimstidt and Barnes, 1980; Tester et al., 1994) suggesting that the hydrolysis of the basalt surface took place at high temperatures. In addition, Dove and Crerar (1990) noted that the presence of cations in solution enhance the Si-hydrolyzation process through the adsorption of the cations onto the silicate surface. Their data show that Na$^+$ and K$^+$ are more readily adsorbed onto these sites than is Mg$^{2+}$, which is consistent with the results observed here. The reaction product from this process would also be an Al–silicate phase, most likely a Na-rich smectite.

The experimental results of Fournier and Thompson (1993) show that the formation of HCl(g) by Na-hydrolysis is inversely proportional to pressure, which agrees well with stoichiometric considerations whereby HCl(g) formation should be inversely proportional to the square root of pressure (M. E. Berndt, pers. comm.). However, the data of Fournier and Thompson (1993) showed that HCl was formed at pressures >250 bar at 600°C by the reaction shown in Eqn. 10, and at pressures >300 bar and 600°C when SiO$_2$ was present as in Eqn. 11. Na-metasomatism has also been shown to occur in Mg-replete hydrothermal fluids leading to the formation of albite plagioclase (Seyfried and Janecky, 1985; Berndt et al., 1989). During the reaction of basaltic glass with solutions of NaCl, CaCl$_2$, and KCl, Berndt et al. (1989) observed Na-metasomatism with a simultaneous decrease in the pH of the hydrothermal fluids. The Na-metasomatism became more important with increasing temperature and was significantly more important at 425°C than at 400°C (at 400 bar). We suggest that as temperature increases, there is a greater likelihood of Na-metasomatism.

Although pressure is likely a determining factor in the exact reaction mechanism, the presence of molten rock provides sufficiently high temperatures to produce H$^+$ in either the gas or aqueous phase at the expense of Na$^+$.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In results presented here, Na-metasomatism and the release of magmatic gasses have been identified as the sources of acidic fluids generated by the reaction between molten rock and seawater. Approximately 30% of the acidity came from magmatic gasses with the balance from water–rock reactions, predominantly Na-metasomatism. When plotted versus water temperature, the chemical alterations observed in the experiments for pH, alkalinity, and F were similar to those observed in the surface ocean, suggesting that the experiments duplicated the natural process fairly well. This allows us to calculate a flux of acid from lava–seawater interactions at Kilauea. The experimental results show acid formation at a rate of 4 mEq per kilogram of lava, which based on lava extrusion rates for Kilauea discussed above (Kauahikaua et al., 1996; Harris et al., 1998) results in an acid formation rate of 200 to 700 × 10$^3$ Eq/year.

The occurrence of near-surface ocean volcanism has been a common phenomenon in the geologic past, suggesting the processes we have observed when molten lava and seawater interact were common phenomena in the ancient oceans. In discussing the environmental implications of the emplacement of large igneous provinces, one of the three effects that Coffin and Eldholm (1994) consider important is the “chemical and physical changes of the hydrosphere caused by the interaction of lava and seawater.” A recent workshop on the effect of hydrothermal activity on primary production in the oceans concluded that only near-surface ocean hydrothermal activity was likely to contribute Fe and other nutrients to the photic zone (Kadko et al., 1999). At Kilauea and other surface ocean eruptions, the interaction between lava and seawater produces acid-rich aerosols also rich in Fe. These aerosols can be carried away from the site of the eruption and be deposited over the surface of the ocean. Fe is a limiting nutrient in the growth of oceanic phytoplankton (Martin et al., 1990) and its presence in trace amounts promotes primary productivity. To be used by the phytoplankton, however, the Fe must be in some labile phase and thus the deposition of volcanic glass may not promote primary productivity in the same manner as the deposition of Fe dissolved in an acidic fluid. In the case of an emergent volcanic island like Surtsey, the 1- to 2-km height of the eruption plume would allow for the deposition of dissolved Fe over great distances. However, the volcanism that takes place near the surface of the ocean is typically explosive in nature (Cas, 1992) creating rapidly cooled hyaloclastic materials, and thus the reaction between seawater and lava must take place in a small window of time. As a result, the hyaloclastite produced in the near-surface ocean are not likely to be altered by longer term high-temperature hydrothermal reactions like those observed at MOR spreading centers but instead are likely to experience reactions like those reported here. The fast nonequilibrium reaction between lava and seawater in the surface ocean will, therefore, release less Fe than would be released from
Table 7. Average values of acid generated for different experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>Average acid generation (nM) ± Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average value for all experiments containing NaCl*</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Day-2</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Day-1</td>
<td>(n = 6)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average value for all SW experiments</td>
<td>(n = 10)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August DIW</td>
<td>(n = 5)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October DIW</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average value for all DIW experiments</td>
<td>(n = 9)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* From Table 2.

longer term near-equilibrium hydrothermal process like those observed at MORs.

Presently, 80% of the annual volcanism takes place beneath the surface of the ocean at MOR spreading centers and at intraplate submarine volcanos (Crisp, 1984) where the pressure is generally much higher than that in the surface ocean. These eruptions are often preceded by the intrusion of magma into existing hydrothermal reservoirs as has been proposed for the formation of mega-plumes above areas of recent magmatic activity (Baker et al., 1989; Lowell and Germanovich, 1995). These reservoirs may contain hydrothermally altered Mg-replete fluids similar to the fluids used in experimental studies where Na-metasomatism was observed (Berndt et al., 1989) or may contain interstitial waters closer in composition to seawater. In either case, the elevated temperatures and rapid reaction kinetics present during magma-seawater interaction may favor short-term Na-metasomatism. In addition, the high temperatures associated with the intrusion of magma into a hydrothermal system lead to phase separation of the fluids (e.g., Massoth et al., 1989; Von Damm et al., 1997) forming both brine and vapor phases. In addition to brine and vapor, it has been hypothesized that halite was deposited within the 9 to 10°C hydrothermal system during eruption (Berndt and Seyfried, 1997). This is supported by the observation of deposits of solid halite on pillow lavas (Butterfield et al., 1997) and on glass shards suspended in the water column (R. Feely, pers. comm.) at sites of recent submarine eruptions. The short-lived environments where halite was deposited may correspond to places where molten rock and seawater came in contact. The presence of vapor and solid halite show that an environment similar to that observed at the shoreline of Kilauea can be present in the deep ocean.

The observation of Na-metasomatism at 425°C and 400 bars (Berndt et al., 1989) suggests that Na-metasomatism may be driven mostly by high temperatures with pressure being a less important factor. In the deep ocean, the presence of molten rock creates reaction conditions with very high temperatures, most likely leading to the immediate formation of acid. Acidic solutions uniformly strip cations from basalt glass (e.g., Gout et al., 1997) generating a hydrothermal solution with a different chemical signature than that from near-equilibrium hydrothermal reactions. In the precipitation samples, for example, we observe Fe:Mn ratios of 54 ± 2 and Al:Mn ratios of 90 ± 2, which are much higher than the values observed in hydrothermal systems, where Fe:Mn ~ 0.2 to 4:1 and Al:Mn ~ 0.001 to 0.01:1. The observation of elevated Fe:Mn ratios in mega-plumes (e.g., Massoth et al., 1998) could have been brought about by a combination of eruption-influenced fluids and ordinary hydrothermal fluids. Similarly, during the Macdonald Seamount summit eruption, fluids were produced that had an Al:Mn ratio of ~5:1 and an Fe:Mn ratio of ~33:1 (Resing et al., 1992), suggesting that the waters in the Macdonald plume may also have been an admixture of eruption and hydrothermal fluids. Finally, Gamo et al. (1987) observed very high concentrations of Al³⁺ in hydrothermal plumes in the Manus Basin with Al:Mn ratios of ~15:1, which suggests that the hydrothermal fluids forming this plume may have been generated in part from an eruption.

The results presented here provide a framework to better understand and further study the interaction between molten rock and water. This interaction was certainly a very common one in the geologic past and continues to be common in the present. There is much research today aimed at understanding the evolution of MOR hydrothermal systems, and the evolution of MOR hydrothermal systems starts with the intrusion or eruption of magma into seawater. We can gain even more understanding by conducting hydrothermal experiments that address the kinetics of reaction at the high temperatures and pressures that must be present when lava at 1150°C comes in contact with seawater.
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