

## Project Evaluation Guidelines

### Technical Content

Was the four-step approach followed as far as it could/should be?

Are relevant conditions and processes recognized adequately?

Are relevant conditions and processes characterized adequately?

Is risk evaluated (at least qualitatively)?

Is the level of risk tolerable?

Are conclusions firmly supported by evidence?

Are alternatives adequately explored?

Are good recommendations made?

Are the key goals of the project addressed adequately?

Is the report well organized? (e.g., intro, plan of attack, data, interpretation, analysis, concl.)

Is it clear that the geologists and engineers collaborated?

Is the engineering significance of the geology accounted for?

Is the geological effect of the engineering accounted for?

Are the geology and engineering sections coordinated?

Are illustrations used effectively?

Is the report neat? (Sloppy presentation is a tip-off to sloppy thinking)

### Writing Style

Is the writing clear?

Is the writing concise?

Is the writing crisp?

Is the grammar correct?

Are the topic sentences of paragraphs good?

Are references handled properly?

Is the abstract or project (summary) done well?